Strategic Settings for 6G: Pathways for China and the US

John Lee, Meia Nouwens and Kai Lin Tay

August 2022



Contents

Executive Summary	2
Chapter One: 6G: Technical Fundamentals, Enabling Technologies and Envisaged Uses	4
Chapter Two: Development of the Wireless Telecommunications Sector	6
The US Path Towards 5G and 6G 7	
China's Path Towards 5G and 6G 9	
Chapter Three: Wireless Telecoms Innovation Ecosystems	12
Academic Collaboration and Knowledge Networks 12	
Collaboration Between the US and China 13	
Role of Government 14	
Role of Industry 16	
Standard Setting 18	
Chapter Four: Geopolitics of Wireless Innovation	19
Chapter Five: Defence and Security Implications for the US and China	21
Conclusion	22

Executive Summary

6G wireless telecommunications is a key emerging technology that has already become a field for international strategic competition, most notably between the United States and China. By dramatically increasing capacity and lowering latency for wireless-data transmission, 6G promises to enable applications on new orders of magnitude or which are qualitatively new. These effects will translate into comparative national economic performance and into military capabilities available to states.

6G's performance parameters are still being defined, and its enabling technologies are still in relatively early stages of research and development (R&D). However, both the US and China, motivated by their intensifying strategic rivalry, are already prioritising the technology's development and exploring its potential for military uses.

Whereas national-security concerns around 5G are focused on its potential for espionage or sabotage through the presence in networks of equipment from politically untrusted actors, 6G will directly impact the international balance of military capabilities. For example, one of 6G's expected military uses is rapid, reliable and secure transmission of much higher volumes of data between fast-moving military platforms, including in outer space for ballistic-missile early warning.

To date, Washington has not prioritised development of next-generation telecoms to the extent that Beijing has, notably in deployment of 5G infrastructure and services. The upshot is long-term erosion of the US telecoms equipment industry. At the same time, the US still has strengths in its innovation ecosystems and in that US firms are well-positioned in key enabling technologies for next-generation telecoms, for example capabilities in software and semiconductors. The US is now shifting towards a more active government role in development of strategic emerging technologies, including 6G. Washington is also pursuing partnerships with allied and partner nations to accelerate as well as coordinate technological developments in ways that increasingly exclude China and capitalise on US strengths.

China's approach to technological development is state led, and it seeks to channel all the nation's resources under direct government influence and to manipulate markets and global standards setting in Beijing's favour. This statist approach has helped Chinese firms and research institutions immensely. From a negligible role in the global telecoms industry in the 1980s, China now holds advantageous positions in many aspects of 5G wireless telecoms, providing a strong foundation for further progress. This is reflected in metrics for 6G development such as patent filings and real-world implementations of relevant enabling or precursor technologies. However, China's capacity to develop 6G faces major constraints from continuing reliance on foreign technological inputs and US targeting of these dependencies through export controls as well as other measures.

Given the potentially significant effects of 6G on national security and economics, coupled with increasingly diverging geopolitical interests between the US and China, competition in this field among the two powers alongside other technologically capable states is expected to intensify. This contestation will increasingly extend to third-party markets as more countries build next-generation telecoms infrastructure – with implications for international technological ecosystems and the global balance of technological power.

Specification of 6G parameters and technical standardisation is still several years away with commercial availability of 6G technologies expected by the late 2020s. The coming years thus provide a window to frame policyresearch agendas for 6G and to examine the underlying drivers of innovation ecosystems for future wireless-telecoms development. This requires a grasp of the basic nature of the technology and its applications, the relative positions of the US and China in its development and the way these two states' intensifying rivalry will shape evolution of a global telecoms industry that has become highly transnational and commercially driven.

This report first outlines the technological basics concerning 6G and its envisaged applications. It then

reviews how the US and China have arrived at their current positions in the development of wireless telecoms. Next, the report examines academic collaboration and knowledge networks between the two countries and third parties, the role of government in the

US and China in 6G development, and the role of industry in each power. The study then looks at international standards setting as an aspect of this national competition and at the broader international politics of 6G. Finally, the report assesses the defence and security implications of 6G.

Chapter One: 6G: Technical Fundamentals, Enabling Technologies and Envisaged Uses

6G is expected to deliver major boosts to various telecoms performance metrics such as capacity, latency, reliability and efficiency (in terms of both spectrum usage as well as energy usage). 1 Networks built to 5G specifications already promise significant capability improvements, but they cannot meet the requirements of various emergent applications like multi-sensory extended-reality applications, multiway virtual meeting with holographic projections, remote surgery and autonomous robotics.2 6G wireless networks will exploit higher-frequency ranges of the electromagnetic spectrum that are not currently used by telecommunications. Development of 6G technologies is focused on the 95 gigahertz to 3 terahertz (THz) frequency range: the US government began licensing this spectrum range for experimental development in 2019.3 The table below shows a selective representation comparing technical parameters of 5G and 6G.

Technologies commonly cited as potential applications of 6G include pervasive artificial intelligence (AI), 3D communications infrastructure and terahertz communications technologies.⁴ Although AI is already being used in 5G networks, current techniques still rely on centralised learning and have yet to realise a truly distributed learning mechanism at the edge of networks, with significant intelligent functions residing in terminal devices rather than the network core.

Table 1: Comparison Between Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) of 5G and 6G*					
KPIs	5G	6G			
Data rate (download)	20 gigabytes per second (Gbps)	One terabytes per second (Tbps)			
Data rate (upload)	10 Gbps	One Tbps			
Latency (radio-interface)	One millisecond	0.1 millisecond			
Traffic capacity	Ten megabytes per second (Mbps)/m²	1–10 Gbps/m³			
Reliability	10 ⁻⁵	10-9			
User experience	50 Mbps 2D everywhere	10 Gbps 3D everywhere			

^{*}Emilio Calvanese Strinati et al., '6G: The Next Frontier', arXiv,16 May 2019, p. 5, http://arxiv.org/abs/1901.03239

Given the propagation characteristics of the short wavelength frequencies 6G is likely to use, networks based on existing cellular architectures may not be commercially viable, meaning that 6G may instead use more distributed and dynamic (cell-free) network architectures that will be enabled by intelligent endpoint devices.⁵

Further progress in AI will be required to realise self-sustaining 6G networks capable of adapting their functions, resource usage and spectrum management according to strict requirements of differing applications.⁶ Regarding 3D communications infrastructure, research on the 3D-propagation environment, frequency and networking planning would be required to effectively integrate terrestrial, airborne and satellite networks.⁷ Terahertz communications face challenges from susceptibility to high propagation loss and molecular absorption, necessitating further study on antenna and circuitry technology.

Other 6G-enabling technologies currently under research include communication with large intelligent surfaces, data transmission using the visible light spectrum as well as technology and quantum communications.⁸ Continued advances in microprocessors will be needed to deliver the processing power and energy efficiencies required by 6G devices.

Beyond improving 5G functionalities and user experience, 6G is envisioned to introduce revolutionary applications with wide-ranging economic, security and socio-economic impacts. Three commonly cited 6G usecases are outlined below to give an impression of the technology's potential.

6G is expected to enable extended- or augmented-reality services integrated with sensory inputs, holographic projection and haptic communication.⁹ For example, 3D representations of a person could be accurately replicated across the world, and human sensory data could be transmitted to provide a truly immersive user experience. Such 'multi-sensory holographic teleportation'¹⁰ has many applications such as

remote surgery and military uses like virtual realityenabled personnel training and enhanced battlefield situational awareness.¹¹

6G could also greatly enhance the performance of connected robotics and autonomous systems.¹² Automating industrial-control networks in high-precision manufacturing requires extremely low delay jitter and high reliability.¹³ With 6G-enabled levels of reliability, low latency and high data-transmission rates (measured in terabytes per second), fully autonomous vehicles equipped with advanced AI and sensors could share traffic and hazard data in real time so as to ensure passenger safety.¹⁴ The same capabilities could also be applied to autonomous robots and other networked systems and platforms in military scenarios.¹⁵

In addition, 6G connectivity would enable new wireless brain–computer interactions (BCI) scenarios. Microdevices implanted in the body could monitor biological processes to detect developing diseases and allow for remote analysis. ¹⁶ BCI has obvious e-health applications, but is also useful for defence purposes, such as enhancing cognitive capability in military personnel and deploying mind-controlled drones.

An idea of the potential enhancements to military performance is provided by a 2020 commentary in a Chinese defence media outlet, which claims that 6G will enable:

- uninhabited intelligent reconnaissance with powerful sensing platforms able to intelligently process and disseminate the information they collect.
- more efficient command and control, through a system capable of 'intelligent learning, mining, analysis and application of big data on the battlefield'.
- real-time visualisation of combat operations, and thereby control of the battlefield through superior situational awareness.
- an integrated and adaptive security-information system that can better protect deployed forces and force generation resources in real time.¹⁷

Various commentators argue that 6G connectivity promises to achieve paradigm changes in effective integration of the physical, digital and biological worlds. ¹⁸ The potential of 6G as a pervasive public good also means that indicators representing sustainability and societal needs (for example, those relating to the United Nations Sustainability Goals), transparency, ethics and inclusiveness would ideally be incorporated in the design of 6G systems. ¹⁹

Chapter Two: Development of the Wireless Telecommunications Sector

Modern telecommunications derive from the convergence of a long list of separate technologies.²⁰ During the second half of the twentieth century, telecoms technology became a global industrial enterprise led by firms from various industrialised countries, with global economies of scale and technological diffusion resulting in the worldwide adoption of wireless telecoms. With the spread and development of the internet, the range of components and contributors in the global telecoms technology ecosystem has diversified further. Wireless telecoms is now based on a sprawling network of technologies and actors, which is internationally distributed and extremely complex. An idea of this complexity is conveyed in a 2021 study that listed ten different sets of stakeholders divided between developers, researchers, law makers, standards bodies, consumers, vendors, telecoms manufacturers, internet providers, business consumers and private consumers.²¹

The umbrella grouping for global standards-setting activity for wireless telecoms, the 3GPP (Third Generation Partnership Project), embodies the multinational character of this technological ecosystem. The group has seven regional and national organisational partner bodies spanning the US, Europe and Asia. Its individual members include 439 entities from Europe (including the United Kingdom), 171 from China, 145 from India, 95 from the US, 46 from Japan, 15 from Finland, 18 from Sweden, nine from Taiwan and two from Russia.

Many of these actors are now engaged in 'pre-positioning' for 6G in anticipation of standardisation over the next few years and commercialisation from the late 2020s. ²² 6G development can currently be described as at a pre-competitive stage, with research being conducted into potentially profitable avenues for 6G applications, their associated requirements and key enabling technologies. Given the capital-intensive nature and technical complexity of these activities, many actors have entered research-and-development collaborations on various technologies behind 6G networking. These

Table 2: Selected 6G Collaborations

US-initiated Next G Alliance

Headed by AT&T and Ericsson, comprising mainly US companies but also convening a host of leading ICT companies from Canada, Finland, Germany, Japan, South Korea and Taiwan. These include Qualcomm, Intel, Bell, Nokia, Samsung, NTT Docomo and MediaTek.

EU-funded Hexa-X project* and European 6G Industrial Alliance (6G-IA)** Hexa-X is led by Nokia and Ericsson involving 25 organisations from France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Italy, Spain, Sweden and Turkey. This initiative encompasses multinational firms such as Siemens, Atos, Intel Deutschland, Telefónica Orange as well as smaller ICT companies like Wings ICT Solutions and Nextworks. 6G-IA represents European private industry in partnership with the EU.

Global initiative – Innovation Optical and Wireless Network Global Forum*** Founded in January 2020 by NTT, Intel and Sony, the entity consists of more than 90 member companies from the US, Europe, Japan, South Korea and Taiwan, including Dell, Oracle, Chunghwa Telecom, Wistron, Fujitsu, NEC, Telefónica, Nokia, Samsung and Orange.**** Apart from business companies, academic and research institutes also form part of the network.

actors include major US, European and North Asian firms as indicated in Table 2 above. Not only do such open collaborations in the pre-competitive phase spur and sustain research momentum in 6G through close collaboration within industry and with academia, they also create breadth and heft for tech and telecoms firms to attract funding from various governments.

At 3GPP meetings, firms from the leading countries in telecoms technology are represented: notably South Korea (Samsung, LG), Japan (Fujitsu, NTT Docomo²³, NEC), the US (Cisco, AT&T, Qualcomm, Verizon), Europe (Nokia, Ericsson, Orange) and China (Huawei, ZTE).²⁴ By contrast, 6G Hexa-X is a solely European initiative. IOWNGF and the Next G alliance appear to be generally excluding Chinese companies like Huawei,

^{*}See Hexa-X, 'About Hexa-X', https://hexa-x.eu/about/.

^{**}See 6G-IA, 'About the 6G-IA', https://6g-ia.eu/about/.

^{***}IOWN Global Forum, 'Members', https://iowngf.org/members/.

^{*****}Business Wire, 'Innovative Optical and Wireless Network Global Forum (IOWN GF) Triples Membership', 15 October 2020, https://www.businesswire.com/news/home/20201015005052/en/Innovative-Optical-and-Wireless-Network-Global-Forum-IOWN-GF-Triples-Membership.

China Telecom, China Mobile, China Unicom and ZTE, which are all pursuing 6G research and development. An exception is Futurewei Technologies,²⁵ a US-based research arm of Huawei, which is a member of the Next G alliance. Even as industry players advocate for cooperation in this pre-competitive phase of 6G development, the faint lines of geopolitical competition are emerging in these technology alliances as nations vie for superiority in this strategic technology.

Besides these large transnational coalitions, there are also smaller-scale 6G partnerships established across and within national borders. For example, SK Telecom has signed agreements with Ericsson, Nokia and Samsung to conduct R&D in 6G business models and technical requirements. ²⁶ Chinese firms like China Unicom and ZTE have formed joint research programmes in 6G-related fields. ²⁷ Smaller partnerships also facilitate targeted research in specific areas of 6G technology. In June 2022, Nokia announced partnership with Docomo and NTT to focus on two proof-of-concepts, namely the AI-based air interface for 6G and sub-THz radio access. ²⁸

It is evident that firms leading development and implementation of 5G technologies are currently the dominant actors in 6G development. Because 6G is an evolutionary progression from 5G, telecoms industry leaders can build on their existing capabilities in the transition to 6G networks.²⁹ The following section briefly reviews how the wireless telecoms sectors in the US and China respectively arrived at their current positions, and the foundation this has provided for 5G and 6G development.

The US Path Towards 5G and 6G

Although the US remains a world leader in many ICT fields, this is not the case in terms of telecoms network equipment. As the transition to 5G and 6G depends on network functionality, the loss of domestic capacity in this industry has made the US dependent on a shrinking number of foreign equipment vendors. By the late 2010s, this market had consolidated to be heavily dominated by Finland's Nokia, Sweden's Ericsson as well as the Chinese firms Huawei and ZTE.³⁰ As discussed below, technological trends favour US firms recapturing some of this market share over the coming decade.

In recent years, however, the prominence of Huawei and ZTE as network equipment vendors has hampered US efforts to persuade other countries not to use Chinese equipment in their new 5G networks.

In the 1970s, the two largest manufacturers of telecoms equipment globally were US companies.³¹ Subsequently, the negative effects of federal anti-trust policy were aggravated by poor investment decisions and US government failure to counter measures by foreign governments that supported their own companies.³² Exacerbating matters was a focus by US firms on cost cutting and shareholder returns. By the end of 2000s, the US telecoms equipment industry had been almost completely displaced or bought out by foreign competitors, with even the famous Bell Labs now owned by Finland's Nokia. The notable exception was Cisco, a Silicon Valley firm founded in the mid-1980s that prospered by providing networking equipment (routers) for the expanding global internet.

From 2011 however, the US led the world in rapidly deploying 4G wireless technology domestically and in leveraging 4G capabilities into global leadership in market share and technical capabilities. This effort was spearheaded by US firms such as Apple and Qualcomm. The benefits that accrued to these firms and the wider US economy – by one estimate, US annual GDP was USD100 billion higher by 2016 due to the 4G transition³³ – were noted by policymakers elsewhere, especially in China, which began accordingly to prioritise development of next-generation wireless-telecoms technology.

Recognising that the US would face intensified foreign competition in capturing the 'commanding heights' of 5G, the Barack Obama administration took measures to accelerate development and deployment of 5G networks domestically. These included making a new electromagnetic spectrum available for commercial wireless broadband services and funding targeted R&D through the National Science Foundation (NSF).³⁴

Ex-president Donald Trump replaced these measures by instructing the federal government to develop a national strategy that would make the unused electromagnetic spectrum available to the private sector.³⁵ At the same time, a proposal by national-security staff in the Trump administration called to build a stateowned 5G network to exclude Chinese 5G providers,

as opposed to allowing the private industry to build, own and operate next-generation telecoms networks. Generally, however, US policy for telecoms lacked coherence during Trump's presidency. This incoherence reflected divisions between government agencies, legislators and industry over basic regulatory issues and policy priorities, especially the privileging of national-security considerations.³⁶

The Trump administration consistently highlighted threats from China, attacking the role of Huawei and other Chinese firms in the global telecoms sector and other ICT industries.³⁷ Underlying these threat perceptions of China were universal concerns about the security challenges entailed by vastly enhanced connectivity brought on by 5G networks and the expanding Internet of Things (IoT).³⁸ Public debates over 5G security have helped technological competition with China become a high-profile issue in US domestic politics, and this has been accompanied by increasingly expansive US government efforts to exclude Chinese companies from telecoms networks entirely, not just within the US but worldwide.

In 2018, US federal agencies were prohibited by law from procuring equipment from Chinese companies including Huawei and ZTE, which were later designated by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) as national-security threats.39 Trump in 2019 signed an Executive Order giving US authorities wide discretion to prohibit the procurement of ICT equipment – by any actor within US jurisdiction - from entities deemed to be 'under the jurisdiction or direction of a foreign adversary'.40 The Trump administration pursued a global campaign against Chinese firms and Huawei in particular under the 'Clean Network Program' rubric, aiming to exclude Chinese businesses from telecoms networks and digital services markets, on top of cajoling other countries to follow the US lead. 41 As described below in the section on China, the Trump administration also introduced export controls on Huawei and other Chinese ICT firms that have had quantifiable impacts, and the Joe Biden regime has maintained and expanded these restrictions.

The Biden administration has also moved quickly to engage with allies and partners to reduce the clout of Chinese digital infrastructure globally. The EU-US Trade and Technology Council is reportedly discussing joint funding of digital infrastructure in developing countries, with a view to competing with Chinese companies like Huawei. President Biden, with the support of G7 leaders, also announced the Partnership for Global Infrastructure and Investment in June 2022, aiming to mobilise government and private capital to fund global infrastructure.⁴²

The lack of US telecoms equipment vendors has hampered these efforts to advocate abroad against Chinese vendors. Consequently, both the Trump administration and US legislators began promoting Open Radio Access Network (ORAN) architectures as an alternative to integrated-equipment offerings from Huawei and ZTE, or even Nokia or Ericsson. 43 The basic concept behind ORAN is to develop technical solutions to 'open up' interfaces between elements of the radio-access network which are currently under proprietary control of the major vendors and thereby underpin their dominance of the equipment market.44 In theory, this will facilitate a larger vendor ecosystem and result in lower equipment prices, making ORAN commercially attractive to telecoms-network operators such as AT&T, Verizon and T-Mobile. As telecoms networks become ever more complex and software enabled with the transition to 6G, network operators are also incentivised to be involved in the development of ORAN and future technical approaches so as to ensure that they do not become mere providers of 'dumb pipes' for technology owned by other companies.

An ORAN ecosystem would likely give advantage to US firms given their existing positions in relevant technologies, notably design of software and processors to enable the network virtualisation that will be integral to ORAN architectures. Unsurprisingly, the system has aroused some suspicion amongst US allies. For instance, the European Commission's mid-2021 report on 5G supply market trends warned that ORAN could lead to a new oligopoly by US firms to the detriment of European firms and consumers. Though it is unlikely to be adopted by most countries as a basis for their 5G-network rollouts, the political and commercial incentives driving ORAN's development mean that it might provide the foundation for 6G from the mid-2020s. The end of the side of the

Moves towards ORAN by large US-allied economies and increasingly aligned partner-states will influence

this outcome. India is likely to take the ORAN route in its 5G infrastructure rollout.⁴⁸ Japan, home of the first company (NTT Docomo) to commercialise ORAN-based 5G, will trial 5G ORAN networks at scale in 2022.⁴⁹ And the UK recently awarded numerous R&D grants to support its '5G Supply Chain Diversification Strategy' that expressly promotes ORAN.⁵⁰ The US also now has a homegrown ORAN industry group which – unlike the leading ORAN specifications body, the O-RAN Alliance – does not include any Chinese firms.⁵¹ However, absent politics, ORAN's technical and business drivers would make exclusion of Chinese firms from involvement in standardising the next generation of wireless telecoms very challenging. This would be further elaborated below.

China's Path Towards 5G and 6G

China's policies for its telecoms infrastructure and domestic development of wireless-telecoms technology reflect its latecomer situation that has shaped the nation's participation in the larger global ICT sector. As the People's Republic opened up its economy in the 1980s, it reformed its state-run telecoms sector. With expansion and technological upgrading of the telecoms network being a national development priority, Beijing allowed the import of telecommunications equipment from foreign firms. As a condition for entering Chinese markets, foreign vendors were required to enter into joint ventures (JVs) with Chinese partners, which established local equipment manufacturing facilities and R&D centres.⁵²

The government encouraged these JVs to raise the proportion of domestically produced components, and this helped to reduce costs. The JVs also facilitated technology and skills transfers from the foreign firms to their Chinese partners. Moreover, state ministries organised training or job rotations at joint ventures for staff from Chinese companies not directly partnered, thereby helping to develop a larger ecosystem of domestic firms. Foreign vendors were subjected to import quotas linked to their willingness to localise production and transfer technology to Chinese companies.⁵³

In the 1990s, as Chinese firms' capabilities matured and JVs expanded their business within China, they began to dominate the local telecoms equipment market. As was true across China's ICT industries, privately run companies like Huawei were generally more successful than state-owned enterprises (SOEs), although ZTE, a company that originated as an SOE joint venture and retains a dominating SOE presence in its ownership structure, also gained significant market share.⁵⁴

Though self-portrayed as a privately owned and privately managed company (私有私营), Huawei's relationship with the state has played a significant role in its success. The symbiotic relationship between the company's rise and the Chinese state's policy goals was epitomised in a reported comment by Huawei's CEO Ren Zhengfei to Chinese leader Jiang Zemin in 1994 that 'a nation that did not have its own (telecoms) switching equipment was like one that lacked its own military'.55

By 1996, China's government had stopped special import policies for telecommunications equipment. In the late 1990s, the then-Ministry of Information Industry (MII) directed Chinese telecoms operators to buy domestically manufactured equipment instead. By 2000, the percentage of China's telecoms equipment market provided by imports had fallen to zero, with 60% now provided by foreign-Chinese JVs and the rest from indigenous suppliers.⁵⁶

During China's negotiations for World Trade Organization entry in the late 1990s, US government pressure led to China granting market entry to the code-division multiple-access standard for 2G wireless telecoms, where the US firm Qualcomm was the dominant patent holder. The lucrative royalties Qualcomm derived from use of its intellectual property (IP) by Chinese businesses - the China market accounted for over a fifth of Qualcomm's global revenue by 2008 spurred Beijing's efforts to promote a competitive home-grown 3G standard that proved unsuccessful.⁵⁷ But this experience led Chinese authorities towards more effective approaches, such as anti-monopoly regulatory decisions against Qualcomm that reduced costs for Chinese businesses using its IP and supporting participation by Chinese firms in international standards-setting processes for telecoms. This contributed to a rapid rise over the last decade in the proportion of 4G- and 5G-related patents held by Chinese firms, notably Huawei.

As its market share and resources grew, Huawei entered the expanding market for internet-data networks

and associated use-cases such as IoT applications with cooperation from local governments and China's state-owned telecoms operators.⁵⁸ Huawei has been able to consistently undercut its competitors' prices, for example pricing its routers in the early 2000s at around half those of the US firm Cisco, which at the time had an 80% share of the Chinese market. By the time Cisco settled a lawsuit with Huawei over claims that the latter had stolen Cisco's router source code, Huawei had accounted for a third of China's router market.⁵⁹

A 2019 investigation by the *Wall Street Journal* estimated that Huawei had received up to USD75bn in various kinds of assistance from the Chinese state spanning grants, loans, credit lines, tax breaks and land discounts, and this allowed the firm to undercut competitors' prices by around 30%. This significantly assisted Huawei's ability to compete in telecoms markets abroad. The *Journal* also estimated that over the previous two decades, China's policy banks had made up to USD30bn in credit lines available to Huawei's customers, with clients in developing countries receiving favourable interest rates in relation to China's own five-year benchmark rate.⁶⁰

In recent years, the Chinese government has published various policy documents that emphasise indigenous development of advanced technologies, including in the area of future telecommunications. For instance, the 'Made in China 2025' industrial plan released in 2015 conveyed China's ambition to be globally competitive in ten industrial sectors by 2025, including next-generation IT in wireless networks. ⁶¹ Similarly, the 13th Five-Year Plan (2016–2020)⁶² called for supporting innovation and driving growth in next-generation IT industries, including AI, smart mobile terminals and 5G networks. In 2020, the National Development and Reform Commission, China's peak macroeconomic management agency, identified satellite internet, IoT and 5G telecoms as 'new information infrastructure', development of which is a priority.⁶³

The 14th Five-Year Plan (2021–2025) called for accelerating large-scale deployment of 5G networks and increasing market penetration rates to 56% in China. This document also set out ambitions for 5G, stating that China would 'build up technology reserves for the future deployment of 6G network technology' and that it would also 'build high-speed, ubiquitous, integrated and interconnected, safe, and efficient information

infrastructure that integrates space and earth (天地一体) and enhance data perception, transmission, storage and computing capabilities'. ⁶⁴ China's National Informatisation Plan published in December 2021 prioritised clarifying the requirements of 6G mobile communications technology and developing key supporting technologies such as terahertz communications. ⁶⁵

Huawei's dominance of China's telecoms equipment market and increasingly of the global market owes much to the company's entrepreneurial nous and heavy investment in technological improvement. For over a decade, Huawei has poured an estimated 15% or more of its annual revenue into R&D, which by 2021 amounted to the equivalent of over USD20bn.66 But as the above account indicates, Chinese government support - regulatory interventions against foreign competitors, mandatory technology transfers, subsidies of various types and cooperative development projects with state agencies and SOEs - has been critical to Huawei and other Chinese firms achieving their present position in wireless-telecoms technology. Numerous accounts of IP theft, one example being the Cisco case noted above, also suggest this has been a significant factor in the ability of Huawei and other Chinese firms to make rapid technological advances and keep their costs relatively low.

In recent years, China has made notable progress in rolling out 5G telecoms infrastructure nationwide. In mid-2020, the ICT hub of Shenzhen (a city of over 12 million) claimed to have achieved comprehensive coverage with 5G standalone networks, which is a progression beyond early-stage 5G deployment that builds on existing infrastructure. Such networks are expected to enable new applications like intelligent connected vehicles.⁶⁷ By late 2021, Chinese media was reporting that over 1.4m 5G base stations had been installed across the nation, making China the world leader by absolute number of 5G base stations, although not per capita.⁶⁸

As US concerns about China's domestic political trend and foreign policy behaviour merged with those about its rising technological prowess, the Trump administration introduced export controls with national-security justifications that specifically targeted Huawei and other Chinese ICT leaders. These have had quantifiable impacts on Huawei's operations and on China's 5G

infrastructure roll-out due to Chinese industry's continued deficiency in key technologies such as semiconductor manufacturing and consequent dependence on foreign vendors that are sensitive to US export controls with extraterritorial jurisdiction.⁶⁹ By late 2021, Huawei was projecting that it would lose due to US export controls 80% of its USD50bn global smartphone business. In addition, the company's stockpiles of foreign-sourced critical components like semiconductors were reportedly running out.⁷⁰ Over the first eight months of 2021, production inside China of 5G base station components, which trended similarly with base station installations, fell 53% year-on-year.⁷¹

The exposure of China's weaknesses in 'core technologies' like semiconductors and the implications for its continued progress in technologies such as 5G and 6G telecoms has induced much pessimism among Chinese industry and policy commentators about the nation's ability to endure a technology war with the US and its allies. A report published in early 2022 by Peking University's International Institute of Strategic Studies,

which assessed China's innovative capacities and the impacts of decoupling from the US across a range of technology sectors, was apparently deemed too politically sensitive to remain on the university's website.⁷²

Nonetheless, the June 2021 White Paper on 5G published by the Chinese Academy of Information and Communications Technology (CAICT) assessed that the coming two years will be a crucial period for commercialising uses of 5G within China, and this requires state and industry to cooperate in developing a 'relatively complete' (较为完备) innovation ecosystem that provides a foundation for the flourishing of 5G applications.73 The 6G White Paper published in June 2021 by China's official 6G development association (the IMT-2030 6G Promotion Group) also stressed that successful commercialisation of 5G will lay the foundation for development of 6G.74 This will expand and deepen the 5G-led transition in society's use of wireless telecoms from mobile internet access to the IoT and other qualitatively new applications, enabled by new technologies including AI.75

Chapter Three: Wireless Telecoms Innovation Ecosystems

Academic Collaboration and Knowledge Networks

The US

The US hosts several university-based research centres that are at the forefront of R&D in 5G and 6G technologies. These centres – notably at the University of Texas at Austin (UTA), New York University (NYU), on top of a joint initiative between Stanford University and the University of California at Berkeley – benefit from partnerships with US industry and from frequent exchange of personnel. For example, the current Director of NYU Wireless previously worked at Bell Labs, where he originated massive multiple-input multiple-output antenna arrays, a key 5G technology. In addition, the Stanford–Berkeley Open Networking Research Center has 12 founding industry sponsors, including Cisco, Ericsson, Google, Huawei, Intel, Juniper, NEC, NTT Docomo and Texas Instruments.

These university centres were involved in early 5G research projects and are now starting to advertise themselves as exploring 6G technologies and applications. The UTA established a 6G research centre in July 2021 with five affiliated companies (Samsung, AT&T, NVIDIA, Qualcomm and InterDigital) that have each committed to funding at least two projects at the centre for three years.

The US government has also recently begun facilitating 6G development partnerships with allied states including Japan, South Korea and the UK.⁸⁰ Collaborations with Japan and South Korea include development of ORAN-based 5G/6G networks, reflecting the United States' desire to erode the Chinadominated telecoms equipment market by diversifying the suppliers.⁸¹ The US and Japan are also collaborating on global standards in uninhabited systems utilising 6G capabilities.⁸²

China

The Chinese state has clearly structured mechanisms for steering and driving forward technological development, including through national-level policy statements such as the 14th Five-Year Plan and other documents mentioned above. For ICT-related sectors like telecoms, the lead state agency is the Ministry of Industry and Information Technology (MIIT), successor to the MII. A common means of state 'steerage' is through umbrella associations that bring together government, industry and academic stakeholders. National Technical Committee 260, for example, is responsible for national information-security standards that shape the design and implementation of telecoms networks.⁸³

For developing 5G as a distinct body of technology, China's 'steering association' is the IMT-2020 5G Promotion Group, named with reference to the International Telecommunication Union (ITU) umbrella term for 5G technical requirements. ⁸⁴ Public–private partnerships are not unique to China, with Europe's 6G-IA (see Table 2 above) being a Western example. But Chinese bodies like the IMT-2020 5G Promotion Group differ in being led by government agencies, providing a direct and institutionalised channel for state influence over decision making. ⁸⁵

China's association for 6G is the IMT-2030 6G Promotion Group, again named to correspond with ITU terminology. This entity was established in 2019 by MIIT, with involvement by other agencies including the Ministry of Science and Technology, Ministry of Education, Ministry of Finance, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Natural Science Foundation of China as well as the National Development and Reform Commission. Official reporting on the group's launch indicates that while its participants from industry and research institutes make proposals and provide advice, implementation decisions rest with the government agencies.⁸⁶

The IMT-2030 6G Promotion Group operates 'under the guidance' of MIIT and its affiliated research institute CAICT, which is the Chinese working lead on international collaborations to develop 5G and 6G use-cases such as industrial internet applications like the Sino-German Industrie 4.0 Cooperation.⁸⁷ As noted above, in

June 2021, the group published a white paper outlining a vision for 6G and assessments about key technologies and use-cases. A selection of its membership, which includes several foreign firms, is given at Table 3 below.

Collaboration Between the US and China

Despite the growing political pressure to 'decouple' technology ties between the US and China, the two countries still engage in significant research collaboration on 6G. This section of the report uses Clarivate's Web of Science Core Collection database to identify 6G-related research papers authored by at least two distinct groups of researchers – one US-affiliated and one China-affiliated – that were published by the end of 2021.88

By inputting key words such as '6G networks', '6G mobile', '6G communications', 'beyond 5G', and scoping the search results to include 'US and China' and filtering the relevant Web of Science research categories, the database yielded 124 articles, with years of publication ranging from 2017 to 2021. The types of 6G-enabling technologies explored in these papers include reconfigurable intelligence surfaces, integrating artificial intelligence in networks, blockchain technologies, terahertz

communications, space-air-ground networks and multiple antenna technologies. While the database is not comprehensive, it gives an indication of trends in 6G-related research collaboration between China and the US.

A strong upward trend in the number of research articles is observed since 2019 (2017: one; 2018: one; 2019: ten; 2020: 41; 2021: 71), signifying a sustained increase in 6G-related research involving US–China collaboration. Out of 124 articles, 37% were produced by authors exclusively affiliated with US and Chinese organisations, while the remaining papers include authors from other countries apart from China and the US.⁸⁹

Among all authors of selected articles, nearly half of them (48%) have affiliations with Chinese organisations, while 23% have US affiliations. A small number (eight authors) have affiliations with both US and Chinese entities. These figures are unsurprising given that 67% of the papers (83 out of 124 papers) had funding from the Chinese government, while only 18% papers had funding from the US government, leading to the higher proportion of Chinese researchers. Besides government funding, a few papers were also funded partially by US and Chinese corporations, among them Huawei, ZTE and Qualcomm.

Research institutes	Operators	System equipment providers	Chipset terminal providers	Universities
Institute of Information Engineering, Chinese Academy of Sciences Institute of Computing Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences Zhejiang Lab China Academy of Information and Communications Technology Telecommunications Development Industry Alliance Guangdong Communications and Networks Institute Shanghai Research Center for Wireless Communications	China Mobile China Unicom China Telecom NTT Docomo	Huawei China Information and Comunications Technologies Group Corporation ZTE Corporation Ericsson Nokia Shanghai Bell Samsung	HiSilicon Lenovo Unisoc Xiaomi Vivo Oppo	Tsinghua University Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications Southeast University Thejiang University University of Electronic Science and Technology of China Beijing Jiaotong University Beihang University Fudan University Tongji University Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics Huazhong University of Science and Technology Nanjing University of Posts and Telecommunications Xidian University Shanghai Jiao Tong University Fudan University Fudan University Peking University Beijing Institute of Technology Xi'an University of Technology Dalian University of Technology

^{*}IMT-2030 (6G) Promotion Group, 'Introduction of IMT-2030(6G) Promotion Group', undated, http://www.imt2030.org.cn/html/default/yingwen/Introduction/Members/index.html?index=1.

Author affiliations with US organisations comprise mainly US universities and companies, with the exceptions of an independent research institute and two government agencies. Authors affiliated with US universities stand at the largest proportion (87%), while a significantly smaller fraction (11%) are affiliated with US-owned or US-based entities such as Nokia Bell Labs, Intel and Qualcomm. Among US organisations, the University of Houston contributed the greatest number of articles (16 papers), followed by Princeton University (13) and Georgia Institute of Technology (seven).

Similarly, authors affiliated with Chinese organisations are mostly based in universities (85%), while the rest are affiliated with Chinese research institutes and companies. Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunication and Southeast University are the largest contributors among Chinese organisations, and each was involved in 13 6G-related research papers. The University of Electrical Science and Technology of China, Beijing Jiaotong University and Xidian University contributed nine papers each, with Peking University and Purple Mountain Laboratories contributing seven papers each. While the bigger contributors are mostly Chinese universities, Purple Mountain Laboratories is a state-backed research institute. Just recently, it achieved a world record for real-time wireless transmission of up to 206.25 GB per second within the terahertz frequency band (300 GHz to 3 THz) in partnership with Fudan University and China Mobile, one of China's three stateowned telecoms operators.90

Given the large proportion of university-affiliated authors, most of the US–China collaboration in 6G-related research involves university researchers. For papers involving authors from state-backed Chinese research institutes (17 out of 124 articles), most include co-authors based in the US universities, while a few others include co-authors from a US government agency (the National Institute of Standards and Technology) and US firms. ⁹¹ In terms of university—company collaboration, 18 research papers are found to involve authors based in US universities and authors based in Chinese companies and vice versa. ⁹² Research papers involving both US and Chinese companies are much fewer (five in count).

Moreover, three of these five papers are editorials which present opinions or viewpoints of 6G-related

issues rather than investigate specific 6G-related technologies. ⁹³ Overall, the small number of research papers involving authors from companies or stated-backed research institutes relative to university-based authors may be due to classified research projects which are not published to protect industry trade secrets or national competitiveness. In particular, the hostile political climate in the US towards Chinese technology companies arising from economic and national-security concerns also restrict opportunities for companies from both countries to collaborate in research and development of 6G-related technologies.

Finally, papers that involve both US- and Chinabased authors appear to have a higher citation impact among all China- or US-associated papers. Considering all 6G-related research papers with at least one China-affiliated author in 2021, only 16% involves both US and China collaboration, but these papers account for 80% of the top 1% most cited China-associated papers. The same trend is observed in the top 5% most-cited China-associated papers, of which 35% are made up of studies with both US and China affiliations. Similarly, among all 6G-related publications that involves US affiliations, 35% includes US-China collaboration, but these papers make up 67% and 58% of the top 1% and top 5% of the most cited US-associated papers respectively.

Role of Government

The US

The Biden administration seems not to have prioritised policy for next-generation telecoms, despite its attention to securing US supply chains in critical technologies and the domestic ICT industrial base. In September 2021, to guide the national transition to 5G, the FCC's acting chair articulated 'key principles' including supply chain security and resiliency, US industry leadership in a diversified telecoms-equipment market – based expressly on ORAN – and US leadership in international technical standards setting processes. The FCC's acting chair and the US Commerce Secretary also highlighted the need for a more organised and unified approach towards national radiofrequency spectrum management.

Since then, there have been efforts made to improve spectrum management. In March 2022, the FCC, along

with the National Telecommunications and Information Administration – two entities in charge of spectrum management in the US – established monthly coordination meetings and a joint task force to discuss a new Memorandum of Understanding between the two agencies, 20 years since the old agreement was created. As of May 2022, the administration and Congress were reviewing whether the US government should change how it manages allocation of electromagnetic spectrum, with industry and the think-tank community warning that failure to move on this issue will hurt US competitiveness in 5G and 6G. 59

Washington has continued the general trend since Trump's presidency towards an increasingly adversarial stance against China in telecoms networks and their underlying technologies. The Biden administration has maintained and added to Trump-era export controls targeting individual Chinese digital-technology companies, while the FCC recently revoked on national-security grounds the licence held by one of China's three state-owned telecoms operators to provide services in the US. 100 However, the Biden regime has not followed its predecessor's high-profile campaigning overseas against Chinese firms' participation in other countries' 5G-infrastructure rollouts.

Biden's Infrastructure Bill, passed by Congress in November 2021, includes USD65bn for broadband and 5G connectivity. ¹⁰¹ The NSF funds various initiatives on advancing wireless telecoms, and has recently trended towards more directive research funding in line with the general turn in the US towards state-led industrial policy for emerging technologies. ¹⁰² For example, the Platforms for Advanced Wireless Research programme, a public-private partnership (PPP) between government, industry and academia, supports large-scale test beds. ¹⁰³

In April 2021, the NSF initiated a new PPP, the Resilient and Intelligent Next-Generation Systems (RINGS), which focuses on promoting resilience in next-generation telecoms networks under varying conditions, and is intended to enable closer collaboration with industry than was the case with past NSF-funded 5G research.¹⁰⁴ As of April 2022, 37 grants featuring a wide range of 6G-related research have been awarded to US academic institutions.¹⁰⁵

The Defence Advanced Research Projects Agency also funds telecoms research with a national-security orientation, though these are currently limited to 5G projects. For instance, the Open, Programmable, Secure 5G Program aims to develop a technology stack for 5G networking that is both portable and secure. ¹⁰⁶ In December 2020, the US Department of Defense (DoD) published a 5G strategy-implementation plan that includes ambitions to expand its activities (in cooperation with other government agencies) in international standard-setting bodies like the 3GPP. And two months prior, the DoD awarded USD600m to private industry for testing and evaluation of 5G services at five military sites, with a second tranche of 5G-related requests for proposals issued during 2021. ¹⁰⁷

In line with the government's push for an open telecommunication architecture, the DoD announced in early 2022 a competition to accelerate the development of an open 5G ecosystem, awarding up to USD3m to participants with hardware or software solutions. To better address the needs of the DoD in adopting 5G technologies and beyond, the US industry also hosts dialogues with defence officials. For instance, the '5G to XG US Defense Symposium' convenes leaders from government, academia, and industry to help understand the technology and policy challenges the DoD faces in adopting 5G wireless telecoms for future warfighting. The military is now the largest purchaser of 5G equipment and services in the US. To

China

As described above, Beijing takes a directive approach towards technology development. While China's 14th Five-Year Plan published in 2021 was the first to state the nation's ambition to lead 6G development, the government had already initiated pre-research in 6G technology in 2018.

That year, the Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST) funded the first research projects to explore technologies underpinning 6G as part of the 'Broadband Communications and New Type-Networks Special Project'. The objective is for China to become 'a global leader in the R&D of Beyond-5G wireless mobile communication technology and standards'. Five 6G-related sub-projects on highly efficient transmission technology were launched under this initiative in 2018. The same

year, also saw the launch of a 6G-related research project on space-ground integrated information network.¹¹³

From 2019 to 2020, 11 more 6G-related sub-projects were released under the Special Project. These projects were awarded to universities and industry entities such as South China University of Technology and Datang Mobile, a state-owned telecoms company. Purple Mountain Laboratories, a state laboratory established by Jiangsu province, and Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications were also awarded '6G overall technology' and '6G all-scenarios, on-demand key technologies' respectively.

Following promulgation of the 14th Five-Year Plan in 2021, MOST released two 6G-related sub-projects under a new 'Multimodal Network and Communication Special Project'. These two programmes explore fusion of communication, sensing and computing as well as ultra-low latency and ultra-reliable large-scale wireless transmission technology. In 2022, five sub-projects were released as part of the same Special Project, and they include AI-driven networks, security and privacy technology as well as ultra-low energy consumption mobile communication. During 2022, MOST also began projects related to ensuring trusted access of multitudinous terminal equipment in a 6G collaborative-manufacturing scenario and electronic chips for next-generation mobile communication base stations.

Other sources of Chinese government funding in next-generation technologies are also likely to contribute to 6G development. In 2021 at the Fourth Session of the 13th National People's Congress, China increased its basic research expenditure by 10.6%. The 14th Five-Year Plan also stated that China would increase its annual R&D budget by over 7% every year for five years from 2021. Concurrently, the government has also increased efforts in establishing national laboratories for the purposes of AI and quantum research.

Despite the focus on indigenous technology development, the Chinese government welcomes STEM collaboration between Chinese and foreign research institutes and companies, highlighting Beijing's continuation of a two-track technology development policy.¹²⁴ For instance, in 2019, Huawei established R&D hubs relating to 6G networks in Canada.¹²⁵ And in 2021, the China Academy of Information and Communications

Technology is planning to cooperate with South Korea's Ministry of Science and ICT to develop core technology for 6G. ¹²⁶ China also actively engages foreign industry experts in 6G discussions. In December 2019 for example, Ericsson and Nokia were invited to working group meetings of the IMT-2030 6G Promotion Group. ¹²⁷ In addition, China organised two global 6G conferences in 2020 and 2022, and their participants include global academic and industry experts from the likes of Canada, Finland, Greece, Japan, Saudi Arabia, Singapore, Sweden, the UK, and the US. ¹²⁸

Role of Industry

The US

As noted above, US companies are well positioned to lead development of ORAN architectures and associated technical trends like network virtualisation (replacement of hardware-based functions with software-based ones). 129 Notably, Intel's software leadership in this field would synergise with its effort to re-establish itself in cutting-edge semiconductor manufacturing (if the latter is successful) to provide a foundation for broader US industry leadership in next-generation telecoms. 130 As in other ICT categories like semiconductors, US industry will increasingly benefit from domestic industrial policy, as US legislators and presidential administrations introduce more measures to promote supply chain 're-shoring' and technological competitiveness vis-à-vis China.

In December 2021, the US House of Representatives passed a bill (the FUTURE Networks Act) directing the FCC to create a 6G task force, which is to prepare for Congress within one year a comprehensive report on 6G wireless technologies.¹³¹ Earlier in October 2021, the US Senate drafted a bill dubbed the Next Generation Telecommunications Act. The bill proposes to assemble a Next Generation Telecommunications Council that would be responsible for advising the Congress on 6G issues, including in the development and deployment of 6G.¹³²

US industry's global leadership in space-based commercial telecoms – for example, through the internet service provided through SpaceX's StarLink constellation – may boost US prospects in international 6G competition, especially as Starlink constellation has demonstrated resiliency in cyber, electronic and information

warfare during the Ukraine–Russia war.¹³³ The 3GPP has been working for some time on integrating satellites with 5G standards, and this work is being driven by ATIS, the US industry organisation that initiated the Next G Alliance.¹³⁴ The alliance has also published a 6G vision for the country, outlining the main priorities required for future 6G-related global standards, deployments, products, operations and services.¹³⁵

An important factor in the US lead in space-based telecoms is the satellite-launch capacity provided by companies like SpaceX and Blue Origin, with China only recently starting to develop a private satellite-launch industry. ¹³⁶ US-allied governments wary of relying on terrestrial 5G networks with Chinese connections have begun investing in space-based next-generation telecoms solutions. The Australian startup Myriota for instance, which provides satellite-based IoT connectivity, is expanding with Australian government funding to serve national security uses. ¹³⁷

China

Chinese companies are similarly active in the 6G space, and they are part of government-led knowledge networks. China's state-owned telecoms operators – China Mobile, China Telecom and China Unicom – and private ICT firms like ZTE, Huawei and VIVO have begun to explore their own 6G visions and enabling technologies. For example, Huawei published its own 6G White Paper in 2021. 139

In 2019, China Unicom led the formation of a joint research centre with 20 other companies and research institutes focusing on millimetre-wave and terahertz communication. Chinese companies are also forming smaller groupings to cooperate on 6G development. In May 2020, China Unicom and ZTE announced they would form a cooperation pact to research and develop 6G technology, discussing trends and cooperate on standards. In addition, Huawei, China Unicom and Galaxy Aerospace established a strategic partnership focused on air–space–ground integration technology in June 2020. In June 2020.

By late 2021, Chinese stakeholders had filed the most 6G patent filings out of a global total of 20,000, ranking first with 40.3% of this figure according to a survey conducted by Nikkei and the Tokyo-based research company Cyber Creative Institute. The US ranked second

with 35.5% of patents filed, and Japan ranked third with 9.9% of patents filed. Europe and South Korea ranked fourth and fifth, at 8.9% and 4.2% respectively. This finding is consistent with a separate study published by the Chinese National Intellectual Property Administration (CNIPA) in April 2021, which found that China had the largest number of 6G-related technology patent applications globally (35%, >13,000). However, while the Japanese survey reported that a large proportion of the Chinese patents were filed by Huawei, as well as state-run companies like the State Grid Corporation of China and China Aerospace Science and Technology, the CNIPA study found that universities and scientific research institutes were the main contributors of 6G technology innovation. However,

As a metric, patent-filing statistics can present only a partial picture depending on which patents are measured. Indeed, the quality of patents should be considered in addition to their quantity. ¹⁴⁶A study by Tokyo-based Patent Result assessed that of all patents filed by Qualcomm and Intel, 44% and 32% were 'high quality' and innovative respectively. ¹⁴⁷ In comparison, the corresponding figure for Huawei was only 21%.

Nevertheless, Chinese media has been quick to publicise reported 6G R&D 'successes' and these came about mostly as the result of public-private partnerships. In 2022, Purple Mountain Laboratories, Southeast University, Pengcheng Laboratory, Fudan University and China Mobile reportedly achieved a breakthrough in 6G-oriented terahertz 100/200 gigabits/second realtime wireless communication, which could meet the needs of future applications such as the metaverse and holographic communications. 148 China reportedly also launched its first '6G test satellite', the Tianyan-5, from Taiyuan Satellite Launch Centre in 2020. This capability was jointly developed by the University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu Guoxing Aerospace Technology and Beijing Weina Xingkong Technology.¹⁴⁹ However, the true functionality of this satellite is still indeterminable, given the nascent nature of 6G technology R&D.

China's 14th Five-Year Plan for Informatization published in 2021 prioritised developing space-based 5G and 6G telecoms. 150 In broad terms, China's growing private-sector space-technology industry – some

estimate it to exceed 100 companies – could play a role in contributing to China's space-based communications technologies, which would form part of 6G networks.¹⁵¹ According to Chinese media, some of these companies like Galaxy Space are already seeking to specialise in the satellite applications for communication, internet, navigation and remote sensing.¹⁵² The state-owned China Satellite Network Group was established in 2021 and aims to expand China's satellite internet capabilities through LEO constellations.¹⁵³ Nevertheless, a caveat to the sustained competitiveness of these Chinese companies in the short to medium term lies in the ability of its private sector to catch up with foreign competitors in core technological components such as semiconductors.

Standard Setting

The US

Industrial- and technological-standards setting in the US has historically been decentralised with limited involvement by government. The US representative body at the International Organisation for Standardisation (ISO) is the American National Standards Institute (ANSI), a non-profit organisation with a membership that encompasses private businesses, civil society entities like trade associations and labour unions on top of government entities.¹⁵⁴ An important actor in the landscape of US technical standardisation is the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), a federal agency tasked with promoting US innovation and industrial competitiveness.¹⁵⁵

However, the escalating competition with China in strategic emerging technologies is creating pressure in the US for more state involvement in technological standardisation. The Future Networks Act mentioned above puts standards setting and the status of industry-led standards-setting bodies at the top of the list of subjects over which the new 6G Task Force is directed to report to Congress. ¹⁵⁶

In 2021, NIST issued a request for public comment on Chinese influence in standardisation of emerging technologies. ¹⁵⁷ The institute is running a multi-year contest to select and standardise one or more post-quantum cryptography algorithms – an effort that undoubtedly looks to China's progress with quantum technologies and the implications for US communications security – and similar efforts concerning 6G can be expected in

future.¹⁵⁸ Already in October 2021, NIST published a paper with international research collaborators proposing a 'cognitive' 6G network.¹⁵⁹

China

China's approach to technical standards setting has been described as a 'state-centric variant' on practices not fundamentally different from those in the West.¹⁶⁰ Indeed, China's ISO representative body is a government agency, the Standardisation Administration of China that sits under the State Administration for Market Regulation. Although China's domestic entity for standards setting differs from Western ones, at the international level, Chinese actors participate in the same formal standards-setting processes and set de facto industry standards similar to their foreign counterparts. Furthermore, China's domestic standards-setting system has been undergoing a long-term reform process to loosen state control, allow market forces more influence and promote convergence with global standards. Nevertheless, state influence over standards setting is still more pervasive than is the case in any Western country.161

China's current National Standardisation Strategy published in October 2021 emphasises the importance of standards to economic development and supply chain security. It promotes synchronisation of Chinese and international standards, and this is consistent with policy guidance issued by Chinese national authorities in recent years. However, this convergence is intended to take place on terms that accord greater influence to Chinese actors in shaping international standards. The state and market are envisioned as playing equally important roles and working together towards an ambition of 85% of Chinese-developed standards becoming international standards by 2025. 164

China's digital-economy development plan that was published in support of the 14th Five-Year Plan explicitly stated its goal to actively participate and promote 6G international standardisation work, signalling its intent to play a leading role in new wireless standards. Given the foregoing discussion about how the global wireless telecoms sector has evolved, it is clear why these Chinese policy goals have become a lightning rod for the geopolitics of technological competition over 6G.

Chapter Four: Geopolitics of Wireless Innovation

Globalisation of many economic sectors over the last few decades, especially in electronics, has made international technical-standardisation processes highly significant to technological development and the international distribution of economic power. State involvement – even in mundane ways like financial support for participating in international standards-development organisations (SDO) meetings – can significantly influence technical-standardisation outcomes.

As such, international standards-setting organisations such as the 3GPP have attracted growing attention in Western policy debates in the context of the growing focus on technological competition with China and with particular focus on the prominence of Chinese firms (most notably Huawei) in terms of 5G technology. Coordinated voting behaviour of Chinese actors in some SDOs, particularly relating to development of 5G telecoms, has also unsurprisingly raised concerns.¹⁶⁶

However, recent studies of the major global SDOs and the role of Chinese actors in them have shown that the latter are not dominant in any general sense.¹⁶⁷ In the specific case of 5G wireless, the influence of Chinese actors appears mixed when disaggregated across different elements of international standards setting. These elements include leadership of SDOs, participation in technical committees and 'standard essential patent' declarations (which can differ significantly from patent citation, the latter metric being a more objective indicator of 'essentiality').¹⁶⁸

Moreover, the unified nature and cumulative technical precedent that characterise the body of 5G standards is unlikely to apply in many other technology categories. Standardisation of AI applications, for example, is likely to be far more diverse, ad hoc and tailored to local priorities. ¹⁶⁹

The key question for the geopolitics of 6G is whether the technology will develop along the same standardisation and technological pathways as 5G, or whether technical divergence will make it easier for the US and China to build separate foundations for next-generation wireless telecoms, avoiding the constraints of interdependence that have characterised recent tensions over telecoms technology. The general estimate that 6G standardisation will begin in the mid-2020s sets a short timeframe for this dynamic to play out before the 6G-technology stack begins to take shape. For example, there is the US NSF's RINGS programme (mentioned above) that funds three-year research grants in the expectation that these will deliver results as 6G standardisation starts in 2024–2025. 170

Technical divergence is not as straightforward as it seems, however. ORAN is not a clean break with past generations of mobile wireless specifications and patents, but rather builds upon them. It therefore inherits an extensive list of contributions and intellectual-property holdings by Chinese companies.¹⁷¹ The leading ORAN specifications body, the O-RAN Alliance, counts Chinese companies as the second-most numerous member nationality after the US, which is unsurprising as the body was established by merging a US-European industry group with a Chinese alliance set up by the stateowned telecoms operator China Mobile. Indeed, the latter's chief scientist is currently co-chair of the O-RAN Alliance's technical steering committee.

The current global standardisation framework for wireless telecoms frustrates attempts to exclude particular actors on the basis of nationality. One example is the Trump administration's rollback of export controls to allow US entities to take part in 3GPP processes despite Huawei's participation, since not doing so would have removed US influence from the 3GPP while the framework proceeded with contributions from Huawei. 172 Citing this example, ANSI's submission to the NIST request for public comment mentioned above advocates removing all export controls on standards-development activities, claiming that these impede US global competitiveness. 173

Another example is Nokia's cessation in mid-2021 of participation in the O-RAN Alliance nominally for fear

of violating US export controls given the participation of Chinese companies on the US Commerce Department's Entity List.¹⁷⁴ Nokia resumed cooperation with the O-RAN Alliance after the latter changed its procedures to ensure technical activities could continue in compliance with US law.¹⁷⁵ As Nokia is a founding member of the Next G Alliance and the emphasis on promoting ORAN among US policymakers and legislators, this shows that attempts to cut Chinese actors out of standardisation processes may not promote US policy goals for 6G.

US prospects for achieving international decoupling from China also faces obstacles due to the nature of the supply chains underpinning future wireless telecoms. Telecoms network equipment (like all modern electronics) relies on semiconductors that are produced through a globally distributed supply chain where many key actors are non-US companies. Despite efforts to repatriate offshored elements of this supply chain, the US (and every other nation) will remain dependent for years to come on Taiwan's TSMC, South Korea's Samsung, the Netherlands' ASML and various other specialist firms that are monopolies or oligopolies in their particular niche. Furthermore, the increasingly dense integration of China with many other Asian economies, which are key nodes in electronics supply chains, presents major obstacles to decoupling these supply chains from the People's Republic.¹⁷⁶

The US has been setting up political frameworks to coordinate development of 6G and other emerging technologies with allied and partner states to compete with China. Washington is pursuing collaborative initiatives with Japan, South Korea and Taiwan on semiconductors.¹⁷⁷ It has also established a joint Trade and Technology Council with the European Union, as well as the AUKUS framework with the UK and Australia. In addition, the US is pursuing technology discussions with India in the context of the Quad framework. To date however, it is unclear whether such political efforts will keep pace with the speed of globalised technological development or overcome the reality that many of these US-aligned economies have conflicting interests.

China for its part remains vulnerable to technology blockades by the US, especially if Washington

coordinates its effort with other advanced economies. This is so because of Chinese industry's deficiencies in certain fields and the technical difficulty of closing these gaps. The most critical gaps are probably in the manufacture of high-performance semiconductors that will be embedded in 6G infrastructure and across the larger ecosystems underpinning the new applications that 6G will enable. 178 Even if China can achieve certain levels of import substitution, indigenisation and associated lower performance levels will likely hurt Chinese firms' international competitiveness in wireless telecoms. Indeed, Huawei's need to redesign its products in response to US export controls is the public reason given by the UK government for changing its security assessment about including Huawei equipment in the UK's 5G networks.¹⁷⁹

From the industry point of view, preferences in the US and China are generally in favour of continued integration, and this conflicts with the political imperative for technological decoupling. All firms face reduced economies of scale, markets and R&D resources from being confined to only certain parts of the world. Without the economies of scale provided by globally unified standards, it may not be economical to develop various technological applications that are putative goals for use of 6G.¹⁸⁰

The private sector can also exploit technology politics without necessarily delivering the outcomes desired by policymakers. Just as Beijing's industrial policy has often proved wasteful, it is uncertain whether the financial support now being directed by Washington towards US business will be effectively utilised in supply-chain 'reshoring' or will it simply boost these firms' profitability and shareholder returns. ¹⁸¹

Many multinational firms appear to be responding to political pressures by building different technology stacks between national markets tailored to the political and regulatory requirements of different jurisdictions. A clean technological split between US and Chinese spheres of influence is therefore less likely than a messy situation – the so-called 'patchwork decoupling' where technology stacks and supply chains continue to straddle the US–China political divide, but politics constrains the benefit generated by these connections while raising the risks.

Chapter Five: Defence and Security Implications for the US and China

Both powers currently view emerging and disruptive technologies as vital areas of competition for civilian and defence technological supremacy. To date, there is no definitive picture as to how advances in 6G and its uses will be implemented in either nation's military capabilities. However, both are likely to view advancements that build upon 5G-like aspects of next-generation ICT to have defence utility.

Assuming that 6G will be developed over the next decade and that related technological applications will mature during that time period, it is likely that 6G applications would contribute to Beijing's ambition of fighting 'intelligentised'(智能化) warfare and systems confrontation. ¹⁸⁴ By the former, Beijing seeks to leverage emerging and disruptive technologies such as AI for its concept of future warfare. Systems confrontation refers to the concept that outcomes in war will be determined by the relative resilience and performance of digitally networked systems. ¹⁸⁵

Warfare in the mid-2030s and beyond could include intelligence swarms, cross-domain mobile warfare, AI-based space confrontation and cognitive control operations. Battlefield information processing and decision-making would be turbocharged in the future environment. 6G can enable these operations. It also has the potential to improve missile defence. Chinese scientists recently developed a device which could potentially effectively use 6G technology for communication and target detection, overcoming previous problems of signal blockage that occurs at hypersonic speed (five times the speed of sound or faster). 187

In 2020, China's National Defence News articulated its vision of how 6G could be used in future operations. This included cross-domain communication networks [陆海空天一体化通信网络] made possible through satellite, drone, and optical communications technologies. 6G could also potentially improve the surveillance and reconnaissance capabilities of the People's Liberation Army (PLA), particularly in space. Large-scale big data processing using 6G would be a step up from the same

process with 5G. In the former, more devices could be connected across multiple frequencies and large bandwidths. Moreover, the integration of AI and machine learning across 6G applications would allow the military to leverage big data and its large number of data streams to continuously learn and improve decision making, defence mobilisation as well as command and control. Furthermore, the PLA could leverage 6G to provide virtual and extended reality training and education for its personnel. The China National Defence News article also expounds the benefits of 6G for real-time visualisation of combat.

US Department of Defense writing about future warfighting concepts and operations, while not mentioning 6G specifically, does not stray far from what is delineated in the China National Defence News article. This is not surprising as the PLA has a long history of learning from other militaries. The DoD is also focusing on aspirational challenges in future warfighting through its concept of expanded manoeuvre to deter China and Russia. The Pentagon is particularly interested in four areas: contested logistics, joint fires from all domains and services, joint all-domain command and control (both within permissive and contested environments) and information advantage. 189

What is striking is the emphasis placed on down-stream challenges posed by enhanced data-centric warfighting and future warfighting concepts, namely training and decision-making requirements as well as defence-related data strategies. The former deals with training warfighters to better cope with uncertainty as the battlefield may become less predictable in the future. While the Chinese article focuses on the benefit to decision-making, the DoD highlights the increased need for warfighters to 'be more understanding of the strategy underpinning the guidance they're given' and to be 'trained to become disruptive and innovative thinkers who thrive in chaotic environments'. ¹⁹⁰ The human-centric approach to this line of thinking stands in contrast to the Chinese article.

As for defence-related data strategies, the DoD is not just considering the presumed benefits of data processing, but already implementing a Defense Data Strategy for joint operations in coordination with allies and partners in order to organise data in a manner that facilitates processing speed. As David Spirk, the DoD's chief data officer, stated: 'It's about speed. And if you don't organise your data, if you can't create repeatable, testable and trusted data workflows from the tactical edge all the way up to your senior-most, decision-making activities, then you will just lag behind.' While it is entirely plausible that the PLA is also considering these issues, further information in open sources remains scarce.

Another indication that 6G would play a major role in future US warfighting efforts is found in the technology strategy published by the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering in February 2022. The strategy's overall aim is to reinforce the US military's technology superiority and calls for rapid fielding of opportunities arising from emerging science and technologies while creating new operational concepts and engaging in increasingly joint operations.¹⁹²

It identifies 'Future Generation Wireless Technology' (FutureG) as one of the 14 critical technology areas which is equivalent to 6G. Classified under the category 'seed areas of emerging opportunity', along with biotechnology, quantum science and advanced materials, FutureG is expected to build on the military's growing 5G capability by providing 'leap-ahead technologies'. The document also highlights the importance of US leadership in future wireless technology in maintaining economic and national security.

One month after the publication of the technology strategy, there were organisational changes to pursue this aim. In March 2022, the DoD announced the establishment of a 5G and FutureG cross-functional team comprising senior officials from the Office of the Secretary of Defense, Joint Staff as well as the Services and Combatant Commands.¹⁹³ The team aims to accelerate the adoption of 5G and future generation wireless networking technologies in the military through 'at-scale prototyping and experimentation' while collaborating with industry, interagency, and international partners.

Conclusion

The strategic implications of 6G for national economies and security will likely be substantial. This technology is expected to enable revolutionary applications that would be impossible with previous generations of wireless telecoms. Regarding military uses, the ubiquitous, high-speed mass data transfers promised by 6G are expected to support applications encompassing autonomous vehicles, virtual reality as well as AI-powered command-and-control systems. Such changes should be expected to have transformative effects on military doctrine, operations and battlefield outcomes.

However, whether 6G develops to its full potential will be heavily influenced by its commercial development. Wireless telecoms is an exemplar of a technology field where development is driven by civilian industry and built upon transnational supply chains, research networks and economies of scale. The growing burdens placed on this development model by technology geopolitics, in particular those driven by the US–China rivalry, will inevitably have negative effects on innovation.

While the Chinese leadership has long placed a high priority on developing future wireless telecoms through sustained policy and action, the US approach to date has been more laissez-faire and less coherent. However, this is changing as the US government moves towards activist industrial and technology policy, and seeks to build technology development communities that exclude Chinese actors by enlisting the cooperation of other producers of advanced technologies. Almost all such states are US security allies and/or partners, but the effectiveness of such coalition building remains to be seen.

The recognition of 6G's strategic value to national economies and security has spurred significant

investments in research and development of 6G-related technologies in both the US and China. Both powers are leveraging private-public partnerships that coordinate efforts by research institutes, industry and government. Investments in 6G-related technologies in both countries will accelerate as both seek an edge in technological advances and international standards setting.

It is too early to judge whether the US or China will lead in developing and deploying 6G infrastructure and technologies. However, the US holds advantages in some enabling technologies and has relatively greater leverage over other countries that are major players in these supply chains. Unless China can effectively circumvent these technological chokepoints, its ability to develop next-generation wireless telecoms equipment is likely to be increasingly encumbered by actions from the US and its partners to restrict Chinese access to critical inputs. Conversely, China's relatively advanced deployment of 5G networks and applications that use them may provide some advantages towards 6G development.

Ultimately, much more divergence would be required to achieve global bifurcation of wireless telecoms systems between US- and Chinese-led spheres. While there are many voices in both the US and China advocating such bifurcation on national-security grounds, it runs against the sector's globalised nature and would inevitably have negative effects on economies of scale and innovation potential, to the point where experts question whether 6G's promise could be fully realised under such conditions. ¹⁹⁴ The trade-off between these policy goals is the core challenge facing policymakers as the world moves rapidly towards a 6G telecoms-enabled future.

Notes

- 1 Walid Saad, Mehdi Bennis and Mingzhe Chen, 'A Vision of 6G Wireless Systems: Applications, Trends, Technologies, and Open Research Problems', *IEEE Network*, vol. 34, no. 3, May 2020, p. 134; M. Giordani et al., 'Towards 6G Networks: Use Cases and Technologies', *IEEE Communications Magazine*, vol. 58, no. 3, March 2020, p. 55; and Emilio Calvanese Strinati et al., '6G: The Next Frontier', arXiv,16 May 2019, p. 1, http://arxiv.org/abs/1901.03239.
- 2 Saad, Bennis and Chen, 'A Vision of 6G Wireless Systems', p. 135; Faisal Tariq et al., 'A Speculative Study on 6G', IEEE Wireless Communications, vol. 27, no. 4, August 2020, p. 120; and Strinati et al., '6G: The Next Frontier', p. 3.
- 3 Marguerite Reardon, 'FCC Opens Up Experimental Spectrum Licenses for 6G', CNET, 15 March 2019, https:// www.cnet.com/tech/mobile/fcc-opens-up-experimentalspectrum-licenses-for-6g/.
- 4 Saad, Bennis and Chen, 'A Vision of 6G Wireless Systems', pp. 137–138; Tariq et al., 'A Speculative Study on 6G', pp. 121–122; Strinati et al., '6G: The Next Frontier', pp. 5–6; and Giordani et al., 'Toward 6G Networks', pp. 57–61.
- 5 Jeremy Horowitz, 'Radiall Proposes "Massive Modular" 6G Mesh Networks with Tiny Antennas', VentureBeat, 14 September 2020, https://venturebeat.com/2020/09/14/radiall-proposes-massive-modular-6g-mesh-networks-with-tiny-antennas/.
- 6 Saad, Bennis and Chen, 'A Vision of 6G Wireless Systems', p. 136; and Tariq et al., 'A Speculative Study on 6G', pp. 121–122.
- 7 Ibid., p. 138.
- 8 Tariq et al., 'A Speculative Study on 6G', pp. 121–123.
- 9 Saad, Bennis and Chen, 'A Vision of 6G Wireless Systems', p. 135; Tariq et al., 'A Speculative Study on 6G', p. 120; Strinati et al., '6G: The Next Frontier', p. 3; and Ian F. Akyildiz, Ahan Kak and Shuai Nie, '6G and Beyond: The Future of Wireless Communications Systems', *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, 2020, pp. 133997–133998.
- 10 Ibid., p. 133997.
- 11 Tariq et al., 'A Speculative Study on 6G', p. 120; and Jorge Jimenez, 'Microsoft Signs \$22 Billion Army Contract for Military HoloLens Gear', PCGamer, 6 April 2021, https://www.pcgamer.com/microsoft-signs-dollar22-billion-army-contract-for-military-hololens-gear/.
- 12 Saad, Bennis and Chen, 'A Vision of 6G Wireless Systems', p. 135.
- 13 Strinati et al., '6G: The Next Frontier', p. 4.

- Tariq et al., 'A Speculative Study on 6G', p. 120; and Giordani et al., 'Toward 6G Networks', p. 57.
- Ryan Szporer, 'Fully Autonomous Drones Are on the Horizon', 6GWorld, 3 February 2021, https://www.6gworld.com/exclusives/fully-autonomous-drones-are-on-the-horizon/.
- Saad, Bennis and Chen, 'A Vision of 6G Wireless Systems', p. 136.; Akyildiz, Kak, and Nie, '6G and Beyond', p. 134020; and Ericsson, 'Ever-Present Intelligent Communication', 6 November 2020, https://www.ericsson.com/en/reports-and-papers white-papers/a-research-outlook-towards-6g.
- 17 Xie Ruikun, Zhang Qingliang and Xie Ruipeng, 谢瑞鹃, 张清亮, 谢瑞鹏, 'Ruguo 6G Yunyong Yu Weilai Zuozhan' 如果6G运用于未来作战 [If 6G is Used in Future Wars], China Military Network, 14 April 2020, http://www.81.cn/gfbmap/content/2020-04/14/content_258839.htm.
 - University of Surrey 6GIC, '6G Wireless: A New Strategic Vision', November 2020, p. 6, https://www.surrey.ac.uk/ sites/default/files/2020-11/6g-wireless-a-new-strategic-visionpaper.pdf; Marco Hoffman et al., '6G Vision, Use Cases and Key Societal Values'; Hexa-X, 28 February 2021, p. 25, https:// hexa-x.eu/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/Hexa-X_D1.1.pdf; Ericsson, '6G - Connecting a Cyber-Physical World', February 2022, https://www.ericsson.com/4927de/assets/local/reportspapers/white-papers/6g--connecting-a-cyber-physical-world. pdf; NTT Docomo, '5G Evolution and 6G', January 2022, https://www.docomo.ne.jp/english/binary/pdf/corporate/ technology/whitepaper_6g/DOCOMO_6G_White_PaperEN_ v4.o.pdf; and Huawei, '6G: The Next Horizon - From Connected People and Things to Connected Intelligence', April 2021, https://www-file.huawei.com/-/media/corp2020/ pdf/tech-insights/1/6g-white-paper-en.pdf?la=en.
- 6G Flagship, University of Oulu, 'White Paper on 6G Drivers and the UN SDGs', June 2020, pp. 40–43, http://jultika.oulu.fi/files/isbn9789526226699.pdf; and 6G Flagship, University of Oulu, 'Key Drivers and Research Challenges for 6G Ubiquitous Wireless Intelligence', September 2019, p. 15, http://jultika.oulu.fi/files/isbn9789526223544.pdf.
- 20 See, for example, Anton A. Huurdeman, The Worldwide History of Telecommunications, (Piscataway, NJ: Wiley-IEEE Press, 2003).
- 21 Mythri Hunukumbure et al., The Technology and Business of Mobile Communications: An Introduction (Piscataway, NJ: Wiley-IEEE Press, 2021), p. 24.
- 22 See, for example, Light Reading, 'Japan's DoCoMo to Launch Commercial 6G Services by 2030', 24 January 2020, https://

www.lightreading.com/6g/japans-docomo-to-launch-commercial-6g-services-by-2o3o/d/d-id/757o6o; Kavit Majithia, 'Nokia to Lead EC 6G Task Force', 7 December 2o2o, https://www.mobileworldlive.com/featured-content/home-banner/nokia-to-lead-ec-6g-task-force; CGTN, '50 Times Faster than 5G, Huawei's 6G Launch Set for 2o3o', 14 April 2o21, https://news.cgtn.com/news/2o21-04-14/50-times-faster-than-5G-Huawei-s-6G-launch-set-for-2o3o-ZrTkNN5fQA/index.html; and Samsung, 'Samsung's 6G White Paper Lays Out the Company's Vision for the Next Generation of Communications Technology', 14 July 2o2o, https://news.samsung.com/global/samsungs-6g-white-paper-lays-out-the-companys-vision-for-the-next-generation-of-communications-technology.

- 23 NTT Docomo, 'Dokomo no 5 G hissu tokkyo hoyu-su wa sekai dai 3-i, tsushin jigyo-sha to shite sekai shui' ドコモの 5G 必須特許保有数は世界第 3 位、通信事業者として世界首位 [Docomo the third-largest number of 5G essential patents in the world and the world's number one telecommunications carrier], April 8, 2021, https://www.docomo.ne.jp/binary/pdf/info/news_release/topics_210408_00.pdf.
- 24 3GPP Membership, 'Individual Members', https://webapp. etsi.org/3gppmembership/Results.asp?Member=ALL_PAR TNERS&SortMember=Name&DirMember=ASC&SortPartn er=Name&DirPartner=ASC&SortMarket=Name&DirMark et=ASC&SortObserver=Name&DirObserver=ASC&SortGu est=Name&DirGuest=ASC&Name=&search=Search.
- 25 Jane Lanhee Lee, 'Exclusive: Huawei's US Research Arm Builds Separate Identity', Reuters, https://www.reuters.com/article/ us-huawei-tech-usa-university-exclusive-idUSKCN1TP2DL.
- 26 Anne Morris, 'SK Telecom Signs "6G" MoUs with Ericsson, Nokia, and Samsung, https://www.sdxcentral.com/articles/ news/sk-telecom-signs-6g-mous-with-ericsson-nokia-andsamsung/2019/06/.
- 27 Joseph Warring, 'China Unicom, ZTE Explore 6G Options', Mobile World Live, 20 May 2020, https://www.mobileworldlive. com/asia/asia-news/china-unicom-zte-explore-6g-options.
- 28 Nokia, 'Nokia, DOCOMO and NTT Bring 6G Vision to Life', 6 June 2022, https://www.nokia.com/about-us/news/releases/2022/06/06/nokia-docomo-and-ntt-bring-6g-vision-to-life/.
- Bevin Fletcher, '6G Doesn't Mean Ditching 5G but "Evolving" to NextG', FierceWireless, 20March2021, https://www.fiercewireless. com/tech/6g-doesn-t-mean-ditching-5g-but-evolving-to-next-g.
- 30 Bevin Fletcher, 'Who Leads the Global RAN Market? Ericsson, says Mobile Experts', Fierce Wireless, 23 December 2021,

- https://www.fiercewireless.com/wireless/who-leads-global-ran-market-ericsson-says-mobile-experts; and Bevin Fletcher, 'Huawei Still Dominates Telecom Equipment Market', 17 December 2021, https://www.fiercewireless.com/wireless/huawei-still-dominates-telecom-equipment-market.
- The two largest companies for telecom equipment were Western Electric and ITT. See Robert D. Atkinson, 'Who Lost Lucent?: The Decline of America's Telecom Equipment Industry', American Affairs, https://americanaffairsjournal.org/2020/08/who-lost-lucent-the-decline-of-americas-telecom-equipment-industry/.
- 32 Ibid.
- Recon Analytics, 'How America's 4G Leadership Propelled the US Economy', 16 April 2018, p. 1, https://api.ctia.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/Recon-Analytics_How-Americas-4G-Leadership-Propelled-US-Economy_2018.pdf.
- Tom Power and Lawrence E. Strickling, 'Administration Advances Wireless Spectrum for Economic Growth', The White House, 14 June 2013, https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/blog/2013/06/14/administration-advances-wireless-spectrum-economic-growth; and The White House, 'Fact Sheet: Administration Announces an Advanced Wireless Research Initiative, Building on President's Legacy of Forward-Leaning Broadband Policy', 15 July 2016, https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/the-press-office/2016/07/15/fact-sheet-administration-announces-advanced-wireless-research.
- Brian Fung, 'Trump is Rolling Back Two Obama-era Memos on Wireless Technology and Replacing Them with His Own "National Spectrum Strategy", Washington Post, 25 October 2018, https://www.washingtonpost.com/technology/2018/10/25/trump-is-rolling-back-two-obama-eramemos-wireless-technology-replacing-them-with-his-own/.
- Mike Dano, 'Trump's Chaos Spills into 5G', Light Reading, 10 August 2020, https://www.lightreading.com/security/trumps-chaos-spills-into-5g-/a/d-id/764485.
- 37 John Lee, 'The Global War for 5G Heats up', The Diplomat, 31 July 2020, https://thediplomat.com/2020/08/the-global-war-for-5g-heats-up/.
- John Lee, 'The Connection of Everything: China and the Internet of Things', MERICS, 24 June 2021, https://merics.org/ en/report/connection-everything-china-and-internet-things.
- Jacob Kastrenakes, 'Trump Signs Bill Banning Government Use of Huawei and ZTE Tech', The Verge, 13 August 2018, https://www.theverge.com/2018/8/13/17686310/huaweizte-us-government-contractor-ban-trump; and Federal

- Communications Commission, 'FCC Designates Huawei and ZTE as National Security Threats', 30 June 2020, https://www.fcc.gov/document/fcc-designates-huawei-and-zte-national-security-threats.
- Executive Office of the President, 'Securing the Information and Communications Technology and Services Supply Chain', Federal Register, 17 May 2019, https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2019/05/17/2019-10538/securing-the-information-and-communications-technology-and-services-supply-chain.
- 41 David P. Fidler, 'The Clean Network Program: Digital Age Echoes of the "Long Telegram"?', Council on Foreign Relations, 5 October 2020, https://www.cfr.org/blog/clean-network-program-digital-age-echoes-long-telegram.
- 42 The White House, 'Fact Sheet: President Biden and G7 Leaders Formally Launch the Partnership for Global Infrastructure and Investment', 26 June 2022, https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2022/06/26/fact-sheet-president-biden-and-g7-leaders-formally-launch-the-partnership-for-global-infrastructure-and-investment/.
- 43 Mung Chiang, 'Remarks at Global Chief Technology Officers Roundtable on 5G ION', United States Department of State, 20 May 2020, https://2017-2021.state.gov/remarks-at-global-chief-technology-officers-roundtable-on-5g-ion/index.html; Iain Morris, 'US Senators Propose More Than \$1B for Open RAN to Fight Huawei', Light Reading, 15 January 2020, https://www.lightreading.com/mobile/5g/us-senators-propose-more-than-\$1b-for-open-ran-to-fight-huawei/d/d-id/756844.
- Iain Morris, 'Open RAN Adds to 6G Dilemma', Light Reading, 22 July 2021, https://www.lightreading.com/6g/open-ran-adds-to-6g-dilemma/d/d-id/771026. The US Federal Communications Commission (FCC) describes the strategy as follows: 'Open, interoperable, standards-based, and virtualized radio access networks offer an alternative to traditional cellular network architecture and could enable a diversity in suppliers, better network security, and lower costs.' See FCC, 'America's 5G Future', https://www.fcc.gov/5G.
- Iain Morris, 'Intel is Building an Open RAN Fortress, but it's Also Under Attack', Light Reading, 10 June 2021, https://www.lightreading.com/open-ran/intel-is-building-open-ran-fortress-but-its-also-under-attack/d/d-id/770094; and GSMA, 'Open and Virtualised Radio Access Networks: An Explanatory Guide for Policymakers', February 2021, p. 7, https://www.gsma.com/publicpolicy/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/GSMA_Open_and_Virtualised_Radio_Access_Networks_An_Explanatory_Guide_for_Policymakers.pdf.

- 46 European Commission, '5G Supply Market Trends Final Report', July 2021, pp. 5–6; 37–38, https://ec.europa.eu/ newsroom/dae/redirection/document/78778.
- 47 Sean Kinney, 'Will Open RAN be Foundational to 6G?', RCR Wireless News, 20 September 2021, https://rcrwireless.com/20210920/5g/will-open-ran-be-foundational-to-6g.
- 8 Tanay Singh Thakur, '5G in India Will be Majorly Based on O-RAN', TelecomTalk, 9 July 2021, https://telecomtalk.info/5g-in-india-will-be-majorly-oran/374408/; and Mansi Taneja, 'Open RAN 5G: Open RAN: Critical to India 5G Success But a Road Fraught with Challenges', ET Telecom, 16 November 2021, https://telecom.economictimes.indiatimes.com/news/open-ran-critical-to-india-5g-success-but-a-road-fraught-with-challenges/87728390.
- 49 Deloitte, 'Open RAN Nihon no shiten: Open RAN kokunai kyoso doko no kosatsu' 日本の視点: Open RAN国内競争動 向の考察 [Open RAN from Japan's perspective: the analysis of international Open RAN competitions], 2021, https://www2.deloitte.com/content/dam/Deloitte/jp/Documents/technology-media-telecommunications/jp-tmt-predictions-2021-open-ran-jp.pdf.
- 50 Department for Digital, Culture, Media & Sport, 'Future RAN: Diversifying the 5G Supply Chain', Gov.uk, 2 July 2021, https://www.gov.uk/guidance/future-ran-diversifying-the-5g-supply-chain; and Department for Digital, Culture, Media & Sport, 'Future RAN: Diversifying the 5G Supply Chain Competition Winners', Gov.uk, 8 December 2021, https://www.gov.uk/guidance/future-ran-diversifying-the-5g-supply-chain-competition-winners.
- Iain Morris, 'US Sets Up New Open RAN Group Amid Telecom Slugfest with China', Light Reading, 5 May 2020, https://www.lightreading.com/5g/us-sets-up-new-open-rangroup-amid-telecom-slugfest-with-china/d/d-id/759409.
- 52 Some of these joint ventures enjoyed preferential treatment through, for example, limitless production, and benefited from subsidisation of domestic users who bought equipment from select joint ventures.
- 53 Atkinson, 'Who Lost Lucent'.
- Kenji Kawase, 'ZTE's Less-known Roots: Chinese Tech Company Falls from Grace', Nikkei Asia, https://asia.nikkei.com/Business/Company-in-focus/ZTE-s-less-known-roots-Chinese-tech-company-falls-from-grace. Though state-owned, companies like ZTE had a focused leadership that operated on the 'state-owned, privately managed' (国有私营) principle, and did not have to contend with leadership challenges from

- multiple ministries. It also focused its strategy on gaining market dominance in underserved parts of China, the rural and inland market segments, and offered its products at nearly half the cost of the joint ventures at the time.
- 55 Evgeny Morozov, 'There's a War Going On Over 5G (And No, That's Not a Conspiracy Theory)', the Correspondent, 18 November 2020, https://thecorrespondent.com/806/theres-awar-going-on-over-5g-and-no-thats-not-a-conspiracy-theory.
- Qing Mu and Kuen Lee, 'Knowledge Diffusion, Market Segmentation and Technological Catch-up: The Case of the Telecommunication Industry in China', Research Policy, vol. 34, no. 6, August 2005, pp. 759–783, https://www.sciencedirect. com/science/article/pii/Soo48733305000946.
- 57 Joy Dantong Ma, 'From Windfalls to Pitfalls:

 Qualcomm's China Conundrum', MacroPolo, 14

 November 2018, https://macropolo.org/analysis/
 from-windfalls-to-pitfalls-qualcomms-china-conundrum/.
- John Lee, 'The Connection of Everything: China and the Internet of Things', China Monitor, 24 June 2021, https://merics.org/ en/report/connection-everything-china-and-internet-things.
- Robert C. Fonow, 'Beyond the Mainland: Chinese Telecommunications Expansion', National Defense University Press, July https://ndupress.ndu. 2003, edu/Portals/68/Documents/defensehorizon/DH-029. pdf?ver=2016-11-15-092813-463; and Nathaniel Athens, 'China's Competitiveness: Huawei', CSIS, 15 February 2013, https://csis-website-prod.s3.amazonaws.com/s3fs-public/ legacy_files/files/publication/130215_competitiveness_ Huawei_casestudy_Web.pdf. By 2002, Huawei held 69% of the Chinese market, while Cisco held just 12%. As was the case in Huawei's earlier telecommunications switches, Cisco had suspected that Huawei had stolen its IP for routers but ultimately settled its lawsuit against the company in 2003.
- 60 Chuin-Wei Yap, 'State Support Helped Fuel Huawei's Global Rise', Wall Street Journal, 25 December 2019, https://www.wsj.com/articles/state-support-helped-fuel-huaweis-global-rise-11577280736. Another of this investigation's findings was that from 2014 to 2018, Huawei received official grants worth 17 times more than equivalent subsidies reported by Nokia, with Huawei's receipts from such grants totalling USD1.6 billion since 2008.
- 61 US Chamber of Commerce, 'Made in China 2025: Global Ambitions Built on Local Protections', 2017, p. 66, https://www.uschamber.com/assets/archived/images/final_made_in_china_2025_report_full.pdf; and State Council of the

- People's Republic of China, Guowuyuan guanyu yinfa 'zhōngguo zhizao 2025' de tongzhi国务院关于印发'中国制造 2025'的通知 [Notice of the State Council on Issuance of 'Made in China 2025'], 19 May 2015, http://www.gov.cn/zhengce/content/2015-05/19/content_9784.htm.
- Central Committee of the Communist Party of China, 'The 13th Five-Year Plan for Economic and Social Development of the People's Republic of China 2016–2020', undated, https:// en.ndrc.gov.cn/policies/202105/P020210527785800103339.pdf.
- Ministry of Commerce of the People's Republic of China, 'Guojia fagaiwei shouci mingque "xin jijian" fanwei' 国家发改委首次明确 "新基建"范围 [National Development and Reform Commission Clarifies for First Time Scope of 'New Infrastructure'], 21 April 2020, http://www.mofcom.gov.cn/article/i/jyjl/e/202004/20200402957398.shtml; and Caroline Meinhardt, 'China Bets on 'New Infrastructure' to Pull the Economy Out of Post-Covid Doldrums', MERICS, 4 June 2020, https://merics.org/en/short-analysis/china-bets-new-infrastructure-pull-economy-out-post-covid-doldrums.
- 64 Center for Security and Emerging Technology, 'Outline of the People's Republic of China 14th Five-Year Plan for National Economic and Social Development and Long-Range Objectives for 2035', 12 May 2021, p. 24, https://cset.georgetown.edu/ wp-content/uploads/to284_14th_Five_Year_Plan_EN.pdf.
- 65 Roger Creemers et. al., 'Translation: 14th Five-Year Plan for National Informatization Dec. 2021', 24 January 2022, Digichina, https://digichina.stanford.edu/work/translation-14th-five-year-plan-for-national-informatization-dec-2021/.
- 66 Joy Dantong Ma, 'From Windfalls to Pitfalls: Qualcomm's China Conundrum', MacroPolo, 14 November 2018, https://macropolo.org/analysis/from-windfalls-to-pitfallsqualcomms-china-conundrum/; Li Na, 'Huawei to Spend More Than USD20 Billion on R&D in 2021 With Cloud Focus', Yicai Global, 24 September 2021, https://www.yicaiglobal. com/news/huawei-to-spend-more-than-usd20-billion-on-rdin-2021-with-cloud-focus-.
- 67 John Lee, 'The Connection of Everything: China and the Internet of Things', MERICS, 24 June 2021, https://merics.org/en/report/connection-everything-china-and-internet-things; Eurasia Group, 'The Geopolitics of 5G', 15 November 2018, https://www.eurasiagroup.net/live-post/the-geopolitics-of-5g.
- 58 The State Council of the People's Republic of China, 'Zhongguo 5G jizhan zong liang zhan quanqiu 60% yishang' 中国5G基站总量占全球60%以上 [China's 5G Base Stations Account

- for More Than 60% of the World's Total], 12 February 2022, http://www.gov.cn/xinwen/2022-02/12/content_5673287.htm.
- 69 John Lee and Jan-Peter Kleinhans, 'Mapping China's Semiconductor Ecosystem in Global Context: Strategic Dimensions and Conclusions', 30 Jun 2021, MERICS, https:// merics.org/en/report/mapping-chinas-semiconductorecosystem-global-context-strategic-dimensions-and-conclusions.
- 70 Kathrin Hille, Eleanor Olcott and James Kynge, 'US-China Business: The Necessary Reinvention of Huawei', Financial Times, 29 September 2021, https://www.ft.com/content/9e98aodb-8doa-4f78-9od3-25bfebcf3ac9.
- 71 Shin Watanabe, 'China's 5G Base Installations Slow as US Parts Dry Up', Nikkei Asia, 20 October 2021, https://asia.nikkei.com/Spotlight/5G-networks/China-s-5G-base-installations-slow-as-U.S.-parts-dry-up.
- 72 Shen Lu, 'A Report Detailed the China-US Tech Gap. Then It Disappeared', Protocol, 9 February 2022, https://www.protocol.com/china/us-china-tech-decoupling#toggle-gdpr; and Paul Triolo, 'Why Did a Peking University Paper on China's Tech Deficiencies Get Deleted?', SupChina, 25 February 2022, https://supchina.com/2022/02/25/why-did-a-peking-university-paper-on-chinas-tech-deficiencies-get-deleted/.
- 73 CAICT, 'Zhongguo 5G fazhan he jingji shehui yingxiang baipishu'中国5G 发展和经济社会影响白皮书 [White Paper on 5G Development and Economic and Social Impact in China], December 2021, p. 33, http://www.caict.ac.cn/kxyj/qwfb/bps/202112/P020211207585963474968.pdf.
- 74 IMT-2030 Promotion Group, 'White Paper on 6G Vision and Candidate Technologies', June 2021, p. 30, http://www.caict.ac.cn/english/news/202106/P020210608349616163475.pdf.
- 75 IMT-2030 (6G) Promotion Group, '6G zongti yuanjing yu qianzai guanjian jishu baipishu' 6G总体愿景与潜在关键技术白皮书 [6G Overall Vision and Potential Key Technology White Paper], June 2021, p. 23, http://www.caict.ac.cn/kxyj/qwfb/ztbg/202106/P020210604552572072895.pdf.
- 76 Monica Alleven, 'NYU Wireless Director Looks at 6G, Beyond Massive MIMO', Fierce Wireless, 7 October 2019, https://www.fiercewireless.com/wireless/ nyu-wireless-director-looks-at-6g-beyond-massive-mimo.
- Andrew Myers, 'Twelve Companies Join Stanford and Berkeley to Launch Open Networking Research Center', Stanford University School of Engineering, 6 April 2012, https://engineering.stanford.edu/news/twelve-companies-join-stanford-and-berkeley-launch-open-networking-research-center.

- Sue Marek, 'Marek's Take: Top Universities Around the Globe are Looking Beyond 5G', Fierce Wireless, 21 January 2020, https://www.fiercewireless.com/5g/marek-s-take-top-universities-around-globe-are-looking-beyond-5g.
- 79 UT News, 'New 6G Research Center Unites Industry Leaders and UT Wireless Experts', 7 July 2021, https://news.utexas. edu/2021/07/07/new-6g-research-center-unites-industry-leaders-and-ut-wireless-experts/.
 - Nikkei Asia, 'US and Japan to Invest \$4.5bn in Next-gen 6G Race with China', 18 April 2021, https://asia.nikkei.com/Business/Telecommunication/US-and-Japan-to-invest-4.5bn-in-next-gen-6G-race-with-China.; Jung Suk-yee, 'United States to Keep China in Check by Working with South Korea', BusinessKorea, 25 May 2021, http://www.businesskorea.co.kr/news/articleView.html?idxno=67856; and Light Reading, 'US, UK to Cooperate on Technologies, Including 6G', 6 November 2021, https://www.lightreading.com/5g/us-uk-to-cooperate-on-technologies-including-6g/d/d-id/770163.
- Bevin Fletcher, 'Huawei Still Dominates Telecom Equipment Market', Fierce Wireless, 17 December 2021, https://www.fiercewireless.com/wireless/huawei-still-dominates-telecomequipment-market; and Jung, 'United States to Keep China in Check by Working with South Korea'.
- 82 Daishi Chiba, 'Japan to Partner with US on 6G Standards for Unmanned Tech', Nikkei Asia, 29 January 2022, https://asia. nikkei.com/Business/Tech/Semiconductors/Japan-to-partnerwith-U.S.-on-6G-standards-for-unmanned-tech.
- 83 John Lee, Eric Zhang and Rogier Creemers, 'China's Standardisation System Trends, Implications and Case Studies in Emerging Technologies', Leiden Asia Centre, April 2022, p. 7, https://leidenasiacentre.nl/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/Chinas-standardisation-system.pdf.
- 84 ITU, 'ITU Defines Vision and Roadmap for 5G Mobile Development', 19 June 2015, https://www.itu.int/net/pressoffice/press_releases/2015/27.aspx.
- 85 Lee, Zhang and Creemers, 'China's Standardisation System', p. 21.
- 66 Liu Yan, 刘垠, 'Woguo zhengshi qidong 6G jishu yanfa gongzuo', 我国正式启动6G技术研发工作 [China Officially Launches 6G Technology Research and Development], tech. gmv, 7 November 2019, https://tech.gmw.cn/2019-11/07/content_33300021.htm.
- Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Climate Change and Federal Ministry of Education and Research,

- 'Sino-German Industrie 4.0 Cooperation', undated, https://www.plattform-i4o.de/IP/Redaktion/EN/Dossiers/china.html.
- The country assignment of authors is determined based on the addresses of the authors' affiliated organisations. Some authors may have more than one affiliated organisation. Some research papers may contain authors outside the United States and China.
- 89 Other countries include Australia, Canada, Germany, Finland, Japan, Norway, Singapore, South Korea, Spain, and the UK.
- 90 Che Pan, 'Chinese Lab Says It Made a Breakthrough in 6G Mobile Technology as Global Standards-setting Race Heats Up', South Morning China Post, 6 January 2022, https://www.scmp.com/tech/big-tech/article/3162411/chinese-lab-says-it-made-breakthrough-6g-mobile-technology-global.
- For instance, a research paper on investigating visible light communication Internet of Things networks comprise authors from Shenzhen Research Institute for Big Data, China University of Mining and Technology, University of California, and IBM Thomas J. Watson Research Centre. See Chun Du et al., 'Nonorthogonal Multiple Access for Visible Light Communication IoT Networks', Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing, vol. 2020, 5 May 2020, https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/5791436.
- To illustrate, a research paper investigating antenna technology for 5G/6G communications systems comprise authors affiliated with Missouri University of Science and Technology and authors affiliated with General Test Systems Inc., a software company based in Shenzhen, China. See Lidong Chi et al., 'A 60 GHz PCB Wideband Antenna-in-Package for 5G/6G Applications', IEEE Antennas and Wireless Propagation Letters, vol. 19, no. 11, November 2020, pp. 1968-1972, https://doi.org/10.1109/LAWP.2020.3006873. Another research paper exploring user equipment for 5G and beyond include authors from Southeast University (China) and Kingston Technology, an American multinational computer technology corporation. See Yiming Huo et al., 'Enabling Multi-Functional 5G and Beyond User Equipment: A Survey and Tutorial', IEEE Access, vol. 7, pp. 116975-117008, 2019, https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2936291.
- Blockchain and AI for Beyond 5G Networks', which involves researchers affiliated with Intel Corporation and China Telecom, presents the latest literature in the blockchain and AI for Beyond 5G networks to highlight limited research efforts in this area. See Yan Zhang et al., 'Guest Editorial: Blockchain

- and AI for Beyond 5G Networks', *IEEE Network*, vol. 34, no. 6, November/December 2020, pp. 22–23, https://10.1109/MNET.2020.9374644.
- 94 The results were obtained from Clarivate's Web of Science Core collection using the same keywords and Web of Science research categories in endnote 88.
- The White House, 'Executive Order on America's Supply Chains', 24 February 2021, https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/presidential-actions/2021/02/24/executive-order-on-americas-supply-chains/; and The White House, 'FACT SHEET: Biden-Harris Administration Announces Supply Chain Disruptions Task Force to Address Short-Term Supply Chain Discontinuities', 8 June 2021, https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2021/06/08/fact-sheet-biden-harris-administration-announces-supply-chain-disruptions-task-force-to-address-short-term-supply-chain-discontinuities/.
- 96 Federal Communications Commission, 'Rosenworcel Remarks to NTIA Spectrum Policy Symposium', 21 September 2021, https://docs.fcc.gov/public/attachments/DOC-375907A1.pdf.
- 97 Mike Dano, 'Biden's Leadership Vacuum May Hamstring US Spectrum Strategy', Light Reading, 21 September 2021, https://www.lightreading.com/security/bidens-leadership-vacuum-may-hamstring-us-spectrum-strategy/d/d-id/772229.
- 98 Monica Alleven, 'FCC, NTIA Kick Off Spectrum Policy Efforts', Fierce Wireless, 31 March 2022, https://www.fiercewireless.com/5g/fcc-ntia-kick-spectrum-policy-efforts.
- Spectrum Management to Avoid Falling Behind China on 5G, 6G', Washington Times, 16 March 2022, https://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2022/mar/16/congress-studying-electromagnetic-spectrum-managem/; Alexandra Kelley, 'Report: Government Inaction on 6G Risks Ceding More Tech Ground to China', Nextgov, 3 December 2021, https://www.nextgov.com/emerging-tech/2021/12/report-government-inaction-6g-risks-ceding-more-tech-ground-china/187285/.
- 100 Jenny Leonard and Ian King, 'Biden Team Mulls New Clampdown on China's Largest Chipmaker', Bloomberg, 15 December 2021, https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2021-12-14/biden-team-considers-new-clampdown-on-china-s-biggest-chipmaker; and Justin Sherman, 'Why the FCC Expelled a Chinese Telecom for National Security Risks', Lawfare, 7 December 2021, https://www.lawfareblog.com/why-fcc-expelled-chinese-telecom-national-security-risks.

- 101 Alexandra Kelley, 'Broadband Dominates Tech Funding In Biden Infrastructure Bill', Nextgov, 8 November 2021, https:// www.nextgov.com/emerging-tech/2021/11/broadbanddominates-tech-funding-biden-infrastructure-bill/186705/; and Nicole Ferraro, 'US House Passes Biden's Infrastructure Bill with \$65B for Broadband', Light Reading, 11 August 2021, https://www.lightreading.com/opticalip/us-housepasses-bidens-infrastructure-bill-with-\$65b-for-broadband/a/ d-id/773337.
- 102 Ali Khayrallah and Hugo Tullberg, 'US and EU Approaches to 6G', Wilson Center, 15 July 2021, https://acrosskarman. wilsoncenter.org/article/us-and-eu-approaches-6g.
- 103 National Science Foundation, 'Advanced Wireless Research Initiative', https://www.nsf.gov/cise/advancedwireless; and Justin Doubleday, 'National Science Foundation Bringing Four "City-scale" Wireless Test Beds to Life', Federal News Network, 12 April 2022, https://federalnewsnetwork.com/ federal-insights/2022/04/national-science-foundationbringing-four-city-scale-wireless-testbeds-to-life/.
- National Science Foundation, 'Resilient & Intelligent NextG Systems (RINGS)', 26 April 2021, https://beta.nsf.gov/funding/opportunities/resilient-intelligent-nextg-systems-rings; Diana Goovaerts, 'US Taps Apple, Google, Nokia, Qualcomm for 6G Boost', Fierce Wireless, 29 April 2021, https://www.fiercewireless.com/tech/u-s-taps-apple-google-nokia-qualcomm-for-6g-boost.
- 105 Swaminathan Arunachalam and Harish Viswanathan,
 'Nokia Co-led NextG Research Collaboration in the US Takes
 Off', Nokia Bell Labs Institute, 19 April 2022, https://www.
 bell-labs.com/institute/blog/nokia-co-led-nextg-researchcollaboration-in-the-us-takes-off/#gref.
- 106 Tejas Patel, 'Open, Programmable, Secure 5G (OPS-5G), Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency, https://www. darpa.mil/program/open-programmable-secure-5g.
- 107 US Department of Defense, 'DOD's Inaugural Foray Into 5G Experimentation on Track', 5 January 2021, https://www. defense.gov/News/News-Stories/Article/Article/2462765/ dods-inaugural-foray-into-5g-experimentation-on-track/.
- 108 Scott Maucione, 'DoD Offering Up To \$3 Million to Help Make 5G More Compatible', Federal News Network, 8 April 2022, https://federalnewsnetwork.com/defense-main/2022/04/dodoffering-up-to-3-million-to-help-make-5g-more-compatible/.
- 109 6GWorld, 'Call for Speakers: 5G to XG US Defense Symposium', 9 August 2021, https://www.6gworld.com/ exclusives/call-for-speakers-5g-to-xg-us-defense-symposium

- and; 6GWorld, 'Guest Editorial 5G to XG and the Future of Warfare: Enhancing Communication', 6GWorld, 12 August 2021, https://www.6gworld.com/exclusives/guest-editorial-5g-to-xg-and-the-future-of-warfare-enhancing-communication/.
- 110 Martha DeGrasse, 'DoD is the Largest Private 5G

 Network Deployer', Fierce Wireless, 7 October 2021,

 https://www.fiercewireless.com/private-wireless/

 vendors-outline-dod-s-private-5g-network-deployment.
- 111 High Technology Research and Development Center, 'Kuandai tongxin he xinxing wangluo zhongdian zhuanxiang jianjie' 宽带通信和新型网络重点专项简介 [Brief introduction to key special projects of broadband communication and new network], undated, http://www.htrdc.com/gjszx/xxwl/index.shtml.
- 112 RFeeFocus, '5G yi lai,6G jishu qushi zhanwang ji geguo 6G yanfa jinzhan' 5G已来,6G技术趋势展望及各国6G研发进展 [The Advent of 5G, 6G Outlook and R&D Progress in Various Countries], 8 March 2019, https://rf.eefocus.com/article/id-333732.
- 113 Keta Xueshu, 科塔学术, 'Tiandi yiti hua xinxi wangluo hefei zhongxin xiangmu (yi qi) zhuanjia pingshen hui zhaokai' 天地一体化信息网络合肥中心项目(一期)专家评审会召开 [Expert Review Meeting of the Hefei Center Project (Phase I) of the Space-Ground Integration Information Network], 27 December 2018, https://archive.fo/s3Qk3.
- 114 RFeeFocus, '5G yi lai,6G jishu qushi zhanwang ji geguo 6G yanfa jinzhan'.
- 115 School of Electronic and Information Engineering of South China University of Technology, 'Wo yuan qiantou de guojia zhongdian yanfa jihua xiangmu qidong hui shunli zhaokai' 我院牵头的国家重点研发计划项目启动会顺利召开 [Kick-off Meeting of National Key R&D Project Led by Our Institute successfully held], 4 November 2019, http://www2.scut.edu.cn/ee/2019/1101/c16285a342707/page.htm.
- 116 C114, 'Gujia zhongdian yanfa jihua "mianxiang tiandi yiti hua kongjian zhineng jisuan de weixing zu wang jishu" xiangmu qidong ji shishi fangan lunzheng hui zai beijing zhaokai' 国家重点研发计划"面向天地一体化空间智能计算的卫星组网技术"项目启动暨实施方案论证会在北京召开 [Key National R&D Programme 'Satellite Networking Technology for Space-Ground Integrated Space Intelligent Computing' Project Launch and Implementation Plan Demonstration Meeting Held in Beijing], 30 April 2021, http://www.c114.com.cn/news/5455/a1160908.html.

- 117 HouseChina, 'Ganshou nanjing chuangxin fazhan qiangjing maidong' 感受南京创新发展强劲脉动 [Feel Strong Pulse of Innovation and Development in Nanjing], 29 October 2020, http://m.house.china.com.cn/nanjing/view/1687116.
- 118 STA, 'Xianzai qidong 6G yanjiu zhengdang qi shi beiyou 6G xiangmu huo guojia zhongdian zhuanxiang zīzhu' 现在启动6G研究正当其时 北邮6G项目获国家重点专项资助 [It's time to start 6G research, Beiyou 6G Project Wins Key National Special Funding], 20 November 2020, http://m.sta.gd.cn/5g/20201120/8632.html.
- 119 Shidai Xuanzhe, 'Duo mo tai wangluo yu tongxìn" zhongdian zhuanxiang 2021 niandu xiangmu shenbao zhinan' 多模态 网络与通信 重点专项2021 年度项目申报指南 [Multimodal Network and Communication Key Special Project Application Guidelines for 2021], 11 May 2021, https://www.sdxz2050.com/5934.html.
- 120 Ministry of Science and Technology, 'Keji bu guanyu fabu guojia zhongdian yanfa jihua "xinxi guangzi jishu" deng zhongdian zhuanxiang 2022 niandu xiangmu shenbao zhinan de tongzhi' 科技部关于发布国家重点研发计划"信息光子技术"等重点专项 2022 年度项目申报指南的通知 [Notice of the Ministry of Science and Technology on Issuing the 2022 Project Application Guidelines for Key Special Projects such as the National Key R&D Programme 'Information Photonic Technology'], 21 April 2022, pp. 38–57, http://zgcgw.beijing.gov.cn/zgc/zwgk/tzgg/32584833 1/2022052017145722049.pdf.
- 121 '"Shisiwu" guojia zhongdian yanfa jihua "wangluo kongjian anquan zhili" zhongdian zhuanxiang 2022 niandu xiangmu shenbao zhinan' '十四五'国家重点研发计划'网络空间安全治理'重点专项2022年度项目申报指南 ['14th Five-Year' Key National R&D Programme 'Cyberspace Security Governance' 2022 Annual Project Application Guide for Key Special Projects], p. 15, https://kyy.hfut.edu.cn/_upload/article/files/bo/e1/ebaoood64cba9818dfdd29b7322f/b99be4d4-ecfa-4cceafd1-2b67aocbc710.pdf.
- 122 Ministry of Science and Technology, 'Keji bu guanyu fabu guojia zhongdian yanfa jihua "xinxi guangzi jishu" deng zhongdian zhuanxiang 2022 niandu xiangmu shenbao zhinan de tongzhi', p. 94, 21 April 2022, http://zgcgw.beijing.gov.cn/zgc/zwgk/tzgg/325848331/2022052017145722049.pdf.
- 123 Reuters, 'China Ramps Up Tech Commitment in 5-year Plan, Eyes 7% Boost in R&D Spend', Reuters, 5 March 2021, https://www.reuters.com/article/us-china-parliament-technology-idUSKBN2AX055.

- 124 The State Council of the PRC, 'Zhonghua renmin gongheguo guomin jingji he shehui fazhan di shisi ge wu nian guihua he 2035 nian yuanjing mubiao gangyao' 中华人民共和国国民经济和社会发展第十四个五年规划和2035年远景目标纲要[The 14th Five-Year Plan for National Economic and Social Development of the People's Republic of China and Outline of the Vision for 2035], http://www.gov.cn/xinwen/2021-03/13/content_5592681.htm.
- 125 Gary Ng, 'Huawei Starts Researching 6G in Ottawa; Bell Confirms Talks with Partners for 6G', iPhone in Canada, 13 August 2019, https://www.iphoneincanada.ca/carriers/huawei-6g-ottawa-bell-partners-6g/.
- 126 Siwoo Jung, 'South Korea MSIT Invests 220 Billion Won For Next-Gen 6G R&D', Korea Tech Today, 24 June 2021, https://www.koreatechtoday.com/south-korea-msit-invests-220-billion-won-for-next-gen-6g-rd/; Italian Trade & Investment Agency, 'Korea to SpendW220 Billion on 6G Network Development', 8 September 2021, https://www.ice.it/it/news/notizie-dal-mondo/189166.
- 127 Zhi Hu, 'Zhongguo 6G wuxian jishu zu yu 12 yue 5 ri, zai chengdu zhaokai di san ci gongzuo zu huiyi' 中国6G无线技术组于12月5日,在成都召开第三次工作组会议 [China 6G Wireless Technology Group Holds Third Working Group Meeting in Chengdu on 5 December], 12 December 2019, https://zhuanlan.zhihu.com/p/97055351.
- 128 STDaily, 'Quanqiu 6G jishu dahui' 全球6G技术大会 [Global 6G Technology Conference], http://www.stdaily.com/zhuantij/6Gconference/6Gztbd.shtml; and 新华网 Xinhua Wang, 'Di er jie quanqiu 6G jishu dahui jiang zai nanjing juban' 第二届全球6G技术大会将在南京举 办 [Second Global 6G Technology Conference to be held in Nanjing], 25 February 2022, https://js.news.cn/2022-02/25/c_1128416669.htm.
- 129 Morris Lore, 'Don't Expect Qualcomm to Curb Intel's Open RAN Power' Light Reading, 24 June 2021, https://www.lightreading.com/open-ran/dont-expect-qualcomm-to-curb-intels-open-ran-power/a/d-id/770449.
- 130 Tim De Chant, 'Intel Slipped And its Future Now Depends on Making Everyone Else's Chips', Ars Technica, 21 October 2021, https://arstechnica.com/tech-policy/2021/10/intelslipped-and-its-future-now-depends-on-making-everyoneelses-chips/.
- 131 Caio Castro, 'US House of Representatives Passes 6G Task Force', 6GWorld, 2December 2021, https://www.6gworld.com/exclusives/us-house-of-representatives-passes-6g-task-force/.

- 132 Lisbeth Perez, 'Senate Commerce Clears "6G" Next-Gen Telecom Bill', MeriTalk, 23 March 2022, https://www.meritalk. com/articles/senate-commerce-clears-6g-next-gen-telecombill and; Caio Castro, '6G Trend Promises to Strengthen as US Congress Votes Next Gen Bills', 6GWorld, 6 January 2022, https://www.6gworld.com/exclusives/6g-trend-promises-tostrengthen-as-us-congress-votes-next-gen-bills/.
- 133 Geoffrey Cain, 'Volodymyr Zelensky on War, Technology, and the Future of Ukraine', Wired, June 2, 2022, https://www.wired.com/story/volodymyr-zelensky-q-and-a-ukraine-war-technology/; and Sandra Erwin, 'Space Force General: Commercial Satellite Internet in Ukraine Showing Power of Megaconstellations,' Space News, May 11, 2022, https://spacenews.com/space-force-general-commercial-satellite-internet-in-ukraine-showing-power-of-megaconstellations/
- 134 ATIS, 'Non-Terrestrial Networks 5G Integration', https://www.atis.org/initiatives/non-terrestrial-networks-ntn-5g-integration/.
- 135 Next G Alliance, 'Next G Alliance Report Roadmap to 6G', February 2022, https://nextgalliance.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/NextGA-Report.pdf.
- 136 Robert Clark, 'US Years Ahead in LEO Broadband, but 6G Battle Looms', Light Reading, 29 November 2021, https://www.lightreading.com/satellite/us-years-ahead-in-leo-broadband-but-6g-battle-looms/d/d-id/773758.
- 137 Ben Grubb, 'Myriota Signs \$5.48m Defence
 Satellite Contract', InnovationAus.com, 29
 September 2021, https://www.innovationaus.com/myriota-signs-5-48m-defence-satellite-contract/.
- 138 Gao Chao, 高超, 'Shei zai "chi pangxie"? Guanyu 6G de jieduan huizong' 谁在'吃螃蟹'? 关于6G的阶段汇总 [Who is 'Eating Crabs'? Summary of the Phases of 6G], CCID, 2 December 2020, https://www.ccidgroup.com/info/1105/32017.htm; and Xu Zhijun, 'Chongjing 6G, gongtong dingyi 6G' 憧憬6G共同定义6G [Looking Forward to 6G and Jointly Defining 6G], Huawei, https://www.huawei.com/cn/technology-insights/future-technologies/envisioning-and-defining-6g-together.
- 139 Huawei, '6G: The Next Horizon', 2020, https://www-file. huawei.com/-/media/corp2020/pdf/tech-insights/1/6g-white-paper-en.pdf?la=en.
- 140 Robert Clark, 'China Unicom Gets Cracking on 6G Terahertz', Light Reading, 21 November 2019, https://www.lightreading.com/asia-pacific/ china-unicom-gets-cracking-on-6g-terahertz-/d/d-id/755846.

- 141 Joseph Waring, 'China Unicom, ZTE Explore 6G Options', MobileWorldLive,2oMay2020,https://www.mobileworldlive. com/asia/asia-news/china-unicom-zte-explore-6g-options.
- 142 Argam Artashyan, 'Huawei and ZTE Help China Take The Lead In 6G Technology', Gizchina, 19 February 2021, https://www.gizchina.com/2021/02/19/ huawei-and-zte-help-china-take-the-lead-in-6g-technology.
- 143 Naoki Watanabe, 'China Accounts for 40% of 6G Patent Applications: Survey', Nikkei Asia, 16 September 2021, https://asia.nikkei.com/Business/Telecommunication/ China-accounts-for-40-of-6G-patent-applications-survey.
- 144 EET-China, ""6G tongxin jishu zhuanli fazhan zhuangkuang baogao" fabu: Zhongguo shenqing yi ju shou wei', 6G通信技术专利发展状况报告发布:中国申请已居首位 ['6G Communication Technology Patent Development Status Report' Released: China Ranked First], 5 May 2021, https://www.eet-china.com/mp/a49110.html. The percentage of 6G-related patent applications by China is calculated from a global total of 38,000 patents.
- 145 In the top-ten ranking by order of individual patent applicants, there is only one Chinese entity, the University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, which comes in at eighth. Korean, Japanese and US firms dominate the list. See *Ibid*.
- 146 The quality of a patent depends on factors such as the particular innovation noted in the patent, citations in other patents and where the patent was filed.
- 147 Takahiro Shibuya, 'Patent King Huawei Lags Intel and QualcomminQuality,StudyFinds',NikkeiAsia,27October2019, https://asia.nikkei.com/Spotlight/Datawatch/Patent-king-Huawei-lags-Intel-and-Qualcomm-in-quality-study-finds.
- 148 Zach Marzouk, 'Chinese Laboratory Hails 6G Breakthrough with Record Speed Test', IT Pro, 8 January 2022, https://www.itpro.co.uk/infrastructure/network-internet/361927/chinese-laboratory-hails-6g-breakthrough-speed-test.
- 149 C114, 'Dianzi keji daxue hao! Quanqiu shou ke 6G shiyan weixing chenggong fashs' 电子科技大学号! 全球首颗6G 试验卫星成功发射 [University of Electronic Science and Technology! The World's First 6G Test Satellite Successfully Launched], 6 November 2020, https://m.c114.com.cn/w2524-1143327.html; Tim Childers, 'China Has Launched the World's First 6G Satellite. We Don't Even Know What 6G Is Yet', Popular Mechanics, 20 November 2020, https://www.popularmechanics.com/space/satellites/a34739258/china-launches-first-6g-satellite/.

- 150 Digichina Stanford Cyber Policy Center, 'Translation: 14th Five-Year Plan for National Informatization', 24 January 2022, https://digichina.stanford.edu/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/DigiChina-14th-Five-Year-Plan-for-National-Informatization.pdf.
- 151 The Chinese central government opened up the space sector to private investment in 2014.
- 152 Guan Xin and Jin Yang, 'New Money: China's Private Space Start-ups Lift Off', CGTN, 10 July 2020, https://news.cgtn.com/news/2020-07-10/New-Money-Chinas-private-space-start-ups-lift-off-SojCrO1Yzu/index. html; Andrew Jones, 'China Launches Test Satellites for Broadband Constellation', SpaceNews, 7 March 2022, https://spacenews.com/china-launches-test-satellites-for-broadband-constellation/.
- 153 He Shujing, Huang Rong and Denise Jia, 'China Opens State-Owned Satellite Internet Company', Caixin Global, 30 April 2021, https://www.caixinglobal.com/2021-04-30/china-opens-state-owned-satellite-internetcompany-101704043.html.
- 154 See International Organization for Standardization, 'ANSI United States Membership: Member Body', undated, https://www.iso.org/member/2188.html.
- 155 See National Institute of Standards and Technology, 'NIST General Information', undated, https://www.nist.gov/ director/pao/nist-general-information.
- 156 US House of Representatives, 'H.R. 4045', 117th Congress
 1st Session, 22 June 2021, https://energycommerce.house.
 gov/sites/democrats.energycommerce.house.gov/files/
 documents/BILLS-1174045ih.pdf.
- 157 National Institute of Standards and Technology, 'Study on People's Republic of China (PRC) Policies and Influence in the Development of International Standards for Emerging Technologies', Federal Register, 11 April 2021, https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2021/11/04/2021-24090/study-on-peoples-republic-of-china-prc-policies-and-influence-in-the-development-of-international.
- 158 NIST, 'Post-Quantum Cryptography', undated, https://csrc.nist.gov/Projects/post-quantum-cryptography/workshops-and-timeline.
- NIST, 'NIST and University Researchers Offer Future 6G Network Concept', 29 December 2021, https://www.nist.gov/ news-events/news/2021/12/nist-and-university-researchersoffer-future-6g-network-concept.
- 160 Tim Ruhlig, 'The Shape of Things To Come: The Race to Control Technical Standardisation', European Chamber,

- December 2021, p. 69, https://www.europeanchamber.com.cn/documents/download/start/en/pdf/966.
- 161 John Lee, Eric Zhang and Rogier Creemers, 'China's Standardisation System Trends, Implications and Case Studies in Emerging Technologies', Leiden Asia Centre, April 2022, https://leidenasiacentre.nl/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/ Chinas-standardisation-system.pdf.
- 162 John Lee, 'The Connection of Everything: China and the Internet of Things', MERICS, 24 June 2021, https://merics.org/ en/report/connection-everything-china-and-internet-things.
- 163 Ruhlig, 'The Shape of Things To Come', p. 36.
- 164 Lee, Zhang and Creemers, 'China's Standardisation System', p. 9,
- 165 The People's Republic of China Central Government, 'Guowuyuan guanyu yinfa "shisiwu" shuzi jingji fazhan guihua de tongzhī' 国务院关于印发"十四五"数字经济 发展规划的通知 [Notice of the State Council on Printing and Distributing the '14th Five-Year' Digital Economy Development Plan], 12 December 2021, http://www.gov.cn/zhengce/content/2022-01/12/content_5667817.htm.
- 166 Ruhlig, 'The Shape of Things To Come', p. 69.
- 167 Giulia Neaher et. al., 'Standardizing the Future: How can the United States Navigate the Geopolitics of International Technology Standards?', Atlantic Council, 14 October 2021, https://www.atlanticcouncil.org/in-depth-research-reports/report/standardizing-the-future-how-can-the-united-states-navigate-the-geopolitics-of-international-technology-standards/.
- 168 Sujai Shivakumar, 'Securing Global Standards for Innovation and Growth', CSIS, 27 January 2022, https://www.csis.org/ analysis/securing-global-standards-innovation-and-growth.
- 169 Patrick Lozada, Tim Ruhlig and Helen Toner, 'Chinese Involvement in International Technical Standards: A DigiChina Forum', DigiChina, 6 December 2021, https:// digichina.stanford.edu/work/chinese-involvement-ininternational-technical-standards-a-digichina-forum/.
- 170 Diana Goovaerts, 'US Taps Apple, Google, Nokia,
 Qualcomm for 6G Boost', Fierce Wireless, 29 April 2021,
 https://www.fiercewireless.com/tech/u-s-taps-applegoogle-nokia-qualcomm-for-6g-boost.
- 171 RWR Advisory Group LLC, 'Chinese Companies Active in the Architecture of Open RAN', 1 April 2021, https://www.rwradvisory.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/RWR_ORAN_Report_4-2021.pdf.
- 172 Faegre Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP, 'US Commerce

 Department Revises Export Controls on Huawei,

- Permits Some Sharing of Export Controlled Technology in Setting Standards for 5G and Other Technology', JD Supra, 17 June 2020, https://www.jdsupra.com/legalnews/u-s-commerce-department-revises-export-97105/.
- 173 American National Standards Institute, 'Comment on FR Doc # 211026-0219', 6 December 2021, https://downloads.regulations.gov/NIST-2021-0006-0013/attachment_1.pdf.
- 174 Iain Morris, 'Nokia Halts O-RAN Work on Fear of US Penalties for China Links', Light Reading, 30 August 2021, https://www.lightreading.com/open-ran/nokia-haltso-ran-work-on-fear-of-us-penalties-for-china-links/d/ d-id/771775.
- 175 Tommi Uitto, 'Nokia and O-RAN: an Unwavering Commitment', Nokia, 14 September 2021, https://www.nokia.com/blog/nokia-and-o-ran-an-unwavering-commitment/.
- 176 John Lee, 'The Internet of Things: China's Rise and Australia's Choices', Lowy Institute, 8 December 2021, https://www.lowyinstitute.org/publications/the-internet-of-things-chinas-rise-and-australias-choices.
- 177 ANI, 'US Plans Semiconductor Alliance with Taiwan, South Korea, and Japan', Business Standard News, 29 March 2022, https://www.business-standard.com/article/international/us-plans-semiconductor-alliance-with-taiwan-south-korea-and-japan-122032900290_1. html; and Nikkei Asia, 'Japan, US to Deepen Cooperation in Cutting-edge Chips', 2 May 2022, https://asia.nikkei.com/Business/Tech/Semiconductors/Japan-U.S.-to-deepen-cooperation-in-cutting-edge-chips.
- 178 Lee and Kleinhans, 'Mapping China's Semiconductor Ecosystem in Global Context'.
- 179 Ian Levy, 'A Different Future for Telecoms in the UK', National Cyber Security Centre, 14 July 2020, https://www.ncsc.gov.uk/blog-post/a-different-future-for-telecoms-in-the-uk.
- 180 Alex Lawrence, 'Guest Interview: Roger Nichols on 6G Impact and More', 6GWorld, 29 March 2021, https://www. 6gworld.com/exclusives/ guest-interview-roger-nichols-on-6g-impact-and-more/.
- 181 William Lazonick and Matt Hopkins, 'Does America Want a CHIPS for a Buybacks Act?', Institute for New Economic Thinking, 4 October 2021, https://www.ineteconomics.org/perspectives/blog/does-america-want-a-chips-for-buybacks-act.
- 182 Christopher A. Thomas and Xander Wu, 'How Global
 Tech Executives View US-China Tech Competition',
 Brookings, 25 February 2021, https://www.brookings.edu/

- tech stream/how-global-tech-executives-view-u-s-chinatech-competition/.
- 183 Mikko Huotari et. al., 'Decoupling Severed Ties and Patchwork Globalisation', MERICS, 14 January 2021, https://merics.org/en/report/decoupling-severed-ties-and-patchwork-globalisation.
- 184 PLA Daily, 'Zhineng hua dai lai zhanzheng xin bianhua' 智能化带来战争新变化 [Intelligence Brings New Changes in Warfare], 7 January 2021, http://military.people.com.cn/n1/2021/0107/c1011-31992241.html.
- 185 Jeffrey Engstrom, 'Systems Confrontation and System Destruction Warfare: How the Chinese People's Liberation Army Seeks to Wage Modern Warfare', RAND, 2018, https:// www.rand.org/pubs/research_reports/RR1708.html.
- 186 Tang Weizhong, 唐维忠, 'Zhineng hua zhanzheng shidai de junshi gaodeng jiaoyu' 智能化战争时代的军事高等教育 [Military Higher Education in the Age of Intelligent Warfare], Ministry of Defence of the PRC, 13 May 2021, http://www.mod.gov.cn/education/2021-05/13/content_4885203.htm.
- 187 Stephen Chen, 'Chinese Scientists Develop 6G Technology for Hypersonic Weapons Communication and Tracking: Paper', South China Morning Post, 28 January 2022, https://www.scmp.com/news/china/science/article/3164918/chinese-scientists-develop-6g-technology-hypersonic-weapons.
- 188 Xie Ruikun, Zhang Qingliang and Xie Ruipeng, 谢瑞鹍, 张 清亮,谢瑞鹏, 'Ruguo 6G yunyong yu weilai zuozhan' 如果 6G运用于未来作战 [Using 6G in Future Warfare], 81.cn, 14 April 2020, http://www.81.cn/gfbmap/content/2020-04/14/ content_258839.htm.
- 189 David Vergun, 'DOD Focuses on Aspirational Challenges in Future Warfighting', US Department of Defense, 26 July 2021, https://www.defense.gov/News/News-Stories/Article/ Article/2707633/dod-focuses-on-aspirational-challenges-infuture-warfighting/.
- 190 David Vergun, 'Leaders Discuss Future Warfighting on an Unpredictable Battlespace', US Department of Defense, 25 June 2021, https://www.defense.gov/News/News-Stories/ Article/Article/2672412/leaders-discuss-future-warfightingon-an-unpredictable-battlespace/
- 191 Jim Garamone, 'Spirk Discusses DOD's "Data-centric" Future', US Department of Defense, 6 January 2022, https://www. defense.gov/News/News-Stories/Article/Article/2891014/ spirk-discusses-dods-data-centric-future/.
- 192 Under Secretary of Defense, 'USD (R&E) Technology Vision for an Era of Competition', Department of Defense Research

- and Engineering, 1 February 2022, https://www.cto.mil/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/usdre_strategic_vision_critical_tech_areas.pdf.
- 193 US Department of Defense, 'DOD Establishes 5G and Future

 Generation Wireless Cross-Functional Team', 9 March

 2022, https://www.defense.gov/News/Releases/Release/
- $\label{lem:condition} Article/2960806/dod-establishes-5g-and-future-generation-wireless-cross-functional-team/.$
- 194 See, for example, Alex Lawrence, 'Guest Interview:

 Roger Nichols on 6G Impact and More', 6G World,
 29 March 2021,https://www.6gworld.com/exclusives/
 guest-interview-roger-nichols-on-6g-impact-and-more/



The International Institute for Strategic Studies – UK

The International Institute for Strategic Studies – Americas

2121 K Street, NW | Suite 600 | Washington, DC 20037 | USA t. +1 202 659 1490 | f. +1 202 659 1499 | e. iiss-americas@iiss.org

The International Institute for Strategic Studies – Asia

9 Raffles Place | #49-01 Republic Plaza | Singapore 048619 **t.** +65 6499 0055 **f.** +65 6499 0059 **e.** iiss-asia@iiss.org

The International Institute for Strategic Studies – Europe

Pariser Platz 6A | 10117 Berlin | Germany **t.** +49 30 311 99 300 **e.** iiss-europe@iiss.org

The International Institute for Strategic Studies – Middle East

14th floor, GBCORP Tower | Bahrain Financial Harbour | Manama | Kingdom of Bahrain **t.** +973 1718 1155 **f.** +973 1710 0155 **e.** iiss-middleeast@iiss.org